

PubMed

Abstract ▾

Full text links



Ann Pharmacother. 2013 Jul-Aug;47(7-8):921-32. doi: 10.1345/aph.1R725. Epub 2013 Jun 4.

## Efficacy and safety of mirtazapine in fibromyalgia syndrome patients: a randomized placebo-controlled pilot study.

Yeephu S<sup>1</sup>, Suthisisang C, Suttipraksa S, Prateepavanich P, Limampai P, Russell IJ.

### ⊕ Author information

#### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** Data from an open-label trial suggest that mirtazapine might prove useful in treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS).

**OBJECTIVE:** To obtain preliminary efficacy data of mirtazapine for estimation of sample size requirements for a Phase 2 clinical trial in FMS.

**METHODS:** This 13-week randomized controlled trial compared the effects of mirtazapine 15 mg/day, mirtazapine 30 mg/day, and placebo in 40 patients with FMS. The primary outcomes were change in Pain Visual Analog Scale (PVAS) and proportion of pain responders ( $\geq 30\%$  PVAS reduction). Secondary outcomes included scores from the Jenkins Sleep Scale (JSS), Patient Global Impression of Change (PGIC), Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D), Patient Global Assessment, and self-reported adverse events.

**RESULTS:** Significant within-group PVAS reductions from baseline were observed in all 3 groups, with the greatest improvement in the mirtazapine 30-mg group ( $p < 0.005$ ); between-group difference was not significant. The proportion of pain responders did not meet significance criteria (66.67% for mirtazapine 30 mg, 50% for mirtazapine 15 mg, 41.67% for placebo). Significant within-group improvement in JSS scores was seen for mirtazapine 30 mg ( $p < 0.01$ ) and mirtazapine 15 mg ( $p < 0.05$ ). Between-group comparison achieved significance for JSS item 3, waking several times per night ( $p < 0.05$ ). On the PGIC, 72.73% felt better with both mirtazapine dosages compared with 50% for placebo. Within-group FIQ responses indicated improvement in only mirtazapine-treated groups, whereas within-group improvement for HAM-D and Patient Global Assessment was observed in all groups. Based on our findings, the sample size requirement (80% power, 5% type I error) should be 83 per group to detect PVAS change difference between mirtazapine 30 mg and placebo. Common mirtazapine-related adverse events were increased appetite and weight gain.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Patients with FMS taking mirtazapine exhibited within-group significant improvement in most of the measured outcomes. Between-group analysis was predictably compromised by the small sample size. Mirtazapine was well tolerated. Further study with a larger sample size is likely to be useful.

PMID: 23737510 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



#### Publication Types, MeSH Terms, Substances

#### LinkOut - more resources

---

**PubMed Commons**

[PubMed Commons home](#)

 0 comments

[How to join PubMed Commons](#)