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Objectives: To discuss fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) and overlapping conditions, eg, irritable bowel syndrome, headaches, and chronic fatigue syndrome, within the concept of central sensitivity syndromes (CSS).

Methods: A critical overview of the literature and incorporation of the author’s own views.

Results: The concept of CSS seems viable. It is based on mutual associations among the CSS conditions as well as the evidence for central sensitization (CS) among several CSS members. However, such evidence is weak or not available in other members at this time, requiring further studies. The biology of CSS is based on neuroendocrine aberrations, including CS, that interact with psychosocial factors to cause a number of symptoms.

Conclusions: CSS is an important new concept that embraces the biopsychosocial model of disease. Further critical studies are warranted to fully test this concept. However, it seems to have important significance for new directions for research and patient care involving physician and patient education. Each patient, irrespective of diagnosis, should be treated as an individual considering both the biological and psychosocial contributions to his or her symptoms and suffering.

Keywords: fibromyalgia, central sensitivity syndromes, central sensitization, overlapping syndromes, fibromyalgia associations, functional syndromes

Central sensitivity syndromes (CSS) comprise an overlapping and similar group of syndromes without structural pathology and are bound by the common mechanism of central sensitization (CS) that involves hyperexcitement of the central neurons through various synaptic and neurotransmitter/neurochemical activities (1,2). CS is manifested as hypersensitivity to various noxious (eg, pressure and heat) as well as nonnoxious (eg, touch) stimuli. Fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS) and similar conditions have been called “functional” (3), “functional somatic syndromes” (4), and medically unexplained symptoms (5), among others. None of these nomenclatures, however, clearly states 2 essential criteria of CSS, ie, an overlapping relationship between these syndromes and an appropriate pathophysiological mechanism (eg, CS) that is common to them. I have proposed CSS as a class terminology for these conditions (1,2) that seems rational and may explain several symptoms.

Besides a lack of structural pathology, the CSS diseases have several similar features in common, eg, pain, fatigue, poor sleep, sensitivity to noxious and nonnoxious stimuli, mutual associations, and the presence of psychosocial difficulties in a subgroup of patients. Many but not all patients with a CSS condition have psychological distress, so that CSS cannot be viewed as purely psychological or psychiatric in nature. For this essay, I shall use the terms disease and illness synonymously.

Current members of the CSS group are shown in Fig. 1. Interstitial cystitis (IC) has been included because of its close clinical similarity to female urethral syndrome (FUS), nonspecific bladder histology, presence of CS, and its association with other CSS members.

Other conditions not listed above, eg, premenstrual tension syndrome and vulvodynia, may also belong to the CSS spectrum on clinical grounds, but at this time they do not satisfy the 2 criteria mentioned above. Gulf war syndrome (GWS) has not been listed as a separate entity. It seems to be a mixture of several CSS conditions: FMS (6), chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) (7), multiple chemical sensitivity syndrome (MCS) (7), posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (8), and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).
Interestingly, visceral and cutaneous hypersensitivity were demonstrated in a subgroup of GWS patients with chronic gastrointestinal symptoms (9).

CS has been demonstrated in functional dyspepsia (FD) by gastric distension (10), and in vulvodynia (both locally and at distant sites) by pressure stimulus (11). FD is associated with IBS (12). Thus, FD is part of generalized gut sensitivity that also includes IBS, rather than being a separate CSS entity.

METHODS

This discourse is based on a critical overview of the literature (as of March, 2006) and an incorporation of the author’s own ideas, insights, and opinions.

RESULTS
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Mechanisms of Central Sensitization
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neuroeffector targets (Table 1). Neurokinin (NK)1 receptors are activated by SP; N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA), α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA), and metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) receptors by glutamate; and tyrosine kinase B (Trk-B) receptors by nerve growth factor (NGF).

Opioid receptors are found in the copresence of SP receptors in the spinal cord, in both pre- and postsynaptic sites and other CNS sites (16). Thus opioid receptors seem important in attenuation of neurotransmission by SP. Opioids can also modulate NMDA receptor activity (16).

SP has an important role in pain transmission and its amplification, causing CS. It unmasks the silent receptors in the synapses that contribute to augmentation of second-order neuron excitability. Moreover, it can diffuse some distance to excite other neurons beyond its origin, contributing to an anatomical expansion of the pain area (ie, increased receptive field), which is characteristic of CS (14,15). The release of SP and other neurochemicals, eg, NGF and glutamate, into the synapse causes synaptic hyperexcitability, which in turn removes the magnesium block of the NMDA receptor channel, allowing glutamate to activate the NMDA receptors on the postsynaptic neuron. Nitric oxide (NO) is also involved in NMDA receptor activation, which is vital to neurotransmission (14). Activation of the NMDA receptor is followed by an increased entry of intracellular calcium, membrane changes and activation of protein kinase, phospholipases, and nitric oxide synthetase (producing NO), as well as expression of c-fos, all of which contribute to a remarkable degree of CS (13-15). NMDA receptors seem mostly responsible for escalation of hyperexcitability of the second-order neurons. These phenomenal functional changes cause neuroplasticity, leading to excessive amplification of a peripheral stimulus, so that even an innocuous stimulus like touch is now perceived as painful.

Dopamine (D) also plays a role in CS. It has been shown that D₃-like receptors (that include D₁ and D₃) increase nociceptive neuronal excitability (15,17).
inhibit it (13-15). Evidence suggests that 5-HT3 subtype has a facilitatory function, whereas 5-HT1A receptor is inhibitory (15). Inhibition of the inhibitory function of 5-HT1A augments CS in the dorsal horn, resulting in hyperalgesia and allodynia (15,18). The ascending and descending pathways do not have dichotomous functions, rather they are interactive and their functions are bidirectional; both pathways have the property of both facilitating and inhibiting pain, depending on the site of action and subtypes of a neurotransmitter.

The affective dimension of pain, eg, unpleasantness and emotional reaction, is mediated by spinal pathways to limbic structures and medial thalamic nuclei, and by anterior insular cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and the somatosensory cortical areas (21).

| Table 1 Neurochemicals and Neureceptors Involved in Central Sensitization |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Neuromodulators/Neurotransmitters Released by Activated C-nociceptors Presynaptically |
| Substance P (SP)             | Somatostatin                     |
| Calcitonin-gene-related peptide (CGRP) | Galanin | Never growth factor |
| Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) | Aspartate |
| Post Synaptic Neureceptors/ Neuroeffector Targets |
| Neurokinin 1 (NK1)           | Metabotropic glutamate (mGlu)    |
| N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)  | Tyrosine kinase B (Trk-B)        |
| Alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl 4-isoxazolepropionate (AMPA) | Protein kinase gamma (PKC-gamma) |
| Galanin                      | Vanilloid subfamily (TRPV-1, TRPV-1) |
| Calcitonin-gene-related peptide (CGRP) | Glutamate |
| Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) | |

whereas D2-like receptors (that include D2, D3, and D4) inhibit it (15,18). In support of this, pramipexole, a D2 agonist, has been shown to be effective in fibromyalgia in a randomized double-blind controlled study (19). Studies have demonstrated a role for ion channels (13,14) and cytokines released from microglia and astrocytes in the nervous system in generation of pain following an inflammatory or traumatic stimulus (14).

Windup is a progressive increase in pain perception with second-order neuronal response to repetitive stimulation (more often than every 3 seconds) of peripheral C fibers. This phenomenon, known as temporal summation (or summation) in humans, occurs in parallel to CS (14). Temporal summation involves the production of second pain, which is described as dull or burning, and leaves an after-stimulus unpleasant sensation. It is generally agreed that NMDA receptors mediate summation, and it can be inhibited by NMDA receptor antagonists, eg, ketamine (20), which may be relevant in treating chronic pain.

CS is clinically and physiologically characterized by hyperalgesia (excessive sensitivity to a normally painful stimulus), allodynia, expansion of receptive field (that is likely to explain widespread pain), a prolonged electro-physiological discharge (that may explain the chronic nature of pain), and an after-stimulus unpleasant pain (eg, burning, throbbing, and paresthesia) that lasts longer than that observed in normal controls following a noxious stimulus (13-15). Because of the remarkable overall hyperexcitement of the central neurons, CS may explain the hypersensitivity to many environmental (eg, noise, weather, stress) and chemical (eg, pesticides and medications) stimuli. CS becomes self-sustained without further stimuli, even minor, because of long-term CNS neuropasticity, and is probably accentuated with chronicity in human diseases.

CS is dampened by the body’s intrinsic mechanism of pain inhibition. Several descending pathways from the cortico-reticular system, locus ceruleus, hypothalamus, brain stem, and local spinal cord interneurons utilize neurotransmitters that include serotonin, norepinephrine, γ-amino-butyric acid (GABA), enkephalins, and adenosine (13-15). Evidence suggests that 5-HT3 subtype has a facilitatory function, whereas 5-HT1A receptor is inhibitory (15). Inhibition of the inhibitory function of 5-HT1A augments CS in the dorsal horn, resulting in hyperalgesia and allodynia (15,18). The ascending and descending pathways do not have dichotomous functions, rather they are interactive and their functions are bidirectional; both pathways have the property of both facilitating and inhibiting pain, depending on the site of action and subtypes of a neurotransmitter.

The affective dimension of pain, eg, unpleasantness and emotional reaction, is mediated by spinal pathways to limbic structures and medial thalamic nuclei, and by anterior insular cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and the somatosensory cortical areas (21).

Mutual Associations Among CSS Conditions

The initial report of an association between FMS, IBS, tension-type headache, and migraine using normal controls (22) has subsequently been confirmed by a large number of studies in the past 25 years using both normal and chronic pain (with structural pathology) controls (2,3,23-62), as reviewed by Aaron and Buchwald (23), and more recently by Yunus (2). Variations in the prevalence of several CSS members are most likely due to different methodology, including different criteria used, but these prevalences, irrespective of use of controls, seem much higher than those found in available population studies. A few studies were not referenced in the Reference section because of page limitation, small N, or otherwise unsatisfactory methods, but most of these are referred by their PubMed identification (PMID) numbers at the footnote of Table 2.

Evidence for Central Sensitization Among CSS Members

CS by peripheral stimuli has been documented in a majority of patients with a CSS condition as compared with normal controls (Table 3). Selected references will be provided here, and others will be indicated by their PMID numbers in the footnote of Table 3.

Fibromyalgia Syndrome

All patients with FMS have generalized exaggerated pain response by digital pressure (CS). Nondigital pressure, eg, by dolorimeter or palpometer, has also been applied to demonstrate hyperalgesia (63-72). Most of these studies have tested multiple modalities of stimuli besides pressure, eg, heat, cold, and electric, by quantitative sensory testing (QST).
Fibromyalgia patients are hypersensitive to heat (64-66,68,72-77), cold (64-66,68,75,76), cutaneous electric (66,69,78), intramuscular electric (69,70), sural nerve electric (to assess nociceptive spinal reflex) (64,79), ischemic (65), and intramuscular hypertonic saline (69,70). Further, allodynia to warmth (68,73), cold (66,68), and pressure (73) has been documented. Temporal summation has been demonstrated by using heat (73,76), cold (74), and intramuscular electric (69) stimuli. Sensitivity to noise, as often complained by FMS patients, has been demonstrated in a human pain laboratory by using a noise generator (67).

Augmented pain sensitivity has been reported in FMS by objective functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) findings in response to peripheral stimuli by pressure (80) and both noxious and innocuous heat (81). A recent study showed a lack of inhibitory control in the brain to nonpainful repetitive somatosensory stimuli (by examining event-related potentials recorded by electroencephalogram (EEG) (82)), suggesting CS.
The issues of dependence on patients’ verbal response to a noxious stimulus (hence subjective in nature) as well as response bias are often raised, particularly in the legal setting. A local spinal reflex, called nociceptive flexion reflex (NFR), can be demonstrated by electrically stimulating the sural nerve directly and measuring the electromyographic response of the biceps femoris. It is a valuable objective test of CS since it bypasses the peripheral nociceptors and does not require a subject’s oral response to a stimulus, and is mediated by central neurons. An accentuated NFR has been demonstrated in FMS (64). Using heat and pressure stimuli in both ascending and random paradigms, it has been shown that response bias (eg, expectancy and hypervigilance) does not play a major role in the report of pain by QST by fibromyalgia patients (72).

**Chronic Fatigue Syndrome**

One controlled study of 21 patients with CFS, of whom 48% had myalgia, demonstrated hypersensitivity to electric stimulus in widely distributed muscles, but not in the overlying skin or subcutaneous locations, irrespective of the presence or absence of myalgia (83). Several brain imaging studies in CFS have shown decreased blood flow in different regions of the brain, eg, frontal, parietal, temporal, subcortical, and periventricular (84). The significance of these findings is unknown but the regions involved may play a role in modulating fatigue and pain in CFS.

**Irritable Bowel Syndrome**

Evidence of CS to somatic (skin) and visceral (rectal) stimuli in selected studies of IBS is shown in Table 3. With most stimuli there was diffuse spread of pain beyond the rectal area to lower abdomen and lower back with persistent unpleasantness, 2 markers of CS. Most studies have used rectal balloon pressure as a stimulus (10,85-102). CS was demonstrated using other rectal stimuli as well, eg, heat (95) and electric (90,99). Both rectal (88) and cutaneous (91) allodynia has been demonstrated in IBS. Similar to generalized hyperalgesia and allodynia in the somatic peripheral tissues in FMS, there is a global gut hypersensitivity in IBS that involves the stomach (10,100) and the esophagus (101). Patients with functional dyspepsia demonstrated both esophageal and rectal hypersensitivity (10). Initially it was thought that cutaneous hypersalgesia is absent in IBS (99). However, subsequent studies have established excessive sensitivity of the skin to heat (191,103), cold (100), and electric (99) stimuli, mostly in conjunction with rectal hyperexcitability, even in the absence of concomitant FMS (103). Such visceral as well as somatic sensitization in the same patient is supportive of the concept that CSS may represent both somatic and visceral hypersensitivity. Interestingly, there is enhanced sensitivity of hand–forearm veins to distention produced by injection of hypertonic saline into the antecubital vein of migraine sufferers, representing another example of visceral hypersensitivity (104).

**Table 3** Evidence for Central Sensitization by Controlled Studies in Selected Members of the CSS group in Response to Various Peripheral (Somatic) or Rectal (Visceral) Stimuli*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimulus</th>
<th>FMS # of studies</th>
<th>CFS # of studies</th>
<th>IBS # of studies</th>
<th>TTH # of studies</th>
<th>Migraine # of studies</th>
<th>TMD # of studies</th>
<th>MPS/RSTPS # of studies</th>
<th>PD # of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (somatic)‡</td>
<td>15 (580)</td>
<td>4 (178)</td>
<td>3 (117)</td>
<td>2 (42)</td>
<td>9 (462)</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (rectal)#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat (somatic)</td>
<td>12 (480)</td>
<td>2 (21)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>3 (117)</td>
<td>3 (76)</td>
<td>3 (137)</td>
<td>2 (42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat (rectal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold (somatic)</td>
<td>8 (255)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>1 (41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (184)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric (cutaneous)</td>
<td>4 (61)</td>
<td>1 (12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric (intra-muscular)</td>
<td>2 (41)</td>
<td>1 (23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (36)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric (spinal Reflex)</td>
<td>2 (107)</td>
<td>1 (14)</td>
<td>1 (40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric (rectal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>1 (60)</td>
<td>2 (72)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertonic saline</td>
<td>2 (41)</td>
<td>1 (22)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory stimulus</td>
<td>1 (20)</td>
<td>1 (15)</td>
<td>1 (65)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See text for other evidence of CS (e.g. allodynia and temporal summation) in a CSS member, as well as evidence for CS in other CSS members. MPS/RSTPS—myofascial pain syndrome/regional soft tissue pain syndrome. See Table 2 for other abbreviations.

‡Studies in all CSS conditions with first author (PMID#) that were not referenced in the text but counted for N in Table 3 are: (1) FMS/somatic pressure—Kosek E (9106808); Bendtsen L (9006605); Marques AP (1566761); Mikkelson M (1514890); Staud R (15890634) (2) FMS/somatic heat—Kosek E (9106808); Berglund B (11932073) (3) FMS/Cold—Kosek E (9106808); Berglund B (11932073) (4) FMS/cutaneous electric—Arroyo JF (7848390) (5) IBS/rectal pressure—Greenwood B (8907258); Bernstein CN (8880836); Drewes AM (11495078); Fukodo S (12572883); Kwan CL (15621377); Chun A (1008061); Caldarella (15667469) (6) Migraine/pressure and heat—Kitaj MB (16109112) (7) MPS/somatic pressure—Giesbrecht RJ (16180957). Please note that space limitations do not allow listing of all references in the text and under References.

§By non-digital pressure.

‡By balloon.
ratory (105), and the objective test of CS by facilitated spinal NFR (102) have been demonstrated in IBS similar to FMS. By applying sensory decision theory (that allows discrimination between sensory experience and response bias), it was shown that there was no response bias among the IBS patients (98). Brain imaging studies by fMRI and $^{15}$O-water positron-emission tomography (PET) following rectal or cutaneous stimulation have been reported (106) in support of CS/central pain in IBS.

**Tension-type Headache**

CS in tension-type headache (TTH) has been demonstrated in both cranial and extracranial sites in response to peripheral pressure (107-110), and heat (108) stimuli and by a facilitated spinal NFR (111). CS was also shown by qualitatively altered nociception (112), greater response to cerebral-evoked potential (CEP) (113), and a deficient pain inhibitory response by diffuse noxious inhibitory control mechanism (DNIC) (114) also demonstrated CS. However, a lack of CS has also been reported by cutaneous heat (113) and cutaneous electric stimuli (115).

**Migraine**

Enhanced sensitivity in migraine has been demonstrated in both cranial and extracranial (eg, hands) sites in response to mechanical (116,117), heat (116,117), cold (116), and CO$_2$ laser (118) stimuli. Hypersensitivity to sound (119) and light (120) has also been shown in the human pain laboratory. Other evidence for CS in migraine includes cutaneous allodynia in periorbital as well as forearm areas (116), summation to mechanical stimulus (117), and increased amplitude of cortical response to CO$_2$ stimulus (118). There is also venous hypersensitivity in migraine in the hand–forearm veins (a location away from the head) (104) as stated earlier. These observations of both somatic and visceral sensitivity in the same CSS condition (eg, migraine and IBS) raise an interesting possibility that CSS may represent a “pan-tissue sensitization.”

**Temporomandibular Disorders**

Temporomandibular disorders (TMD) represent a heterogeneous group of disorders in patients with or without structural pathology. Only the myofascial variety by Research Diagnostic Criterions (RDC) (121) is included here as a member of CSS. Hyperresponsiveness to pressure (122,123), heat (124-126), ischemia (124,127), and hypertonic saline (123) has been recorded in both facial and extracranial sites, along with summation to thermal stimulus (125,126). One study failed to show hypersensitivity to heat (123). Most interesting is the description of a patient who demonstrated many features of CS in response to nonnoxious vibratotile stimulus in the arm and face, eg, unpleasantness of evoked pain that lasted 30 minutes, temporal summation, and allodynia; alldynic pain decreased following administration of the NMDA receptor antagonist dextrromethorphan using the vehicle as a control (126).

**Myofascial Pain Syndrome/ Regional Soft-Tissue Pain Syndrome**

We (128) and others (129) have questioned the current construct of chronic myofascial pain syndrome (MPS). Here I shall use the terms chronic “regional soft-tissue pain syndrome” (RSTPS) and MPS synonymously to include a regional chronic pain condition with TPs in the absence of structural pathology.

To qualify for CS in RSTPS/MPS, hypervasitvity to a stimulus needs to be demonstrated at both symptomatic and distant sites. Such an exaggerated response has been demonstrated to pressure (63,78,130-135), heat (131-133), cold (131,134), electric (78,132,135), and vibration (131). An accentuated spinal NFR (ie, a decreased threshold to electric stimulus) (135) and allodynia (131) have also been documented. One study, however, showed increased pain threshold to thermal stimulus (132). By fMRI, Giesecke and coworkers showed extensive cortical activation suggestive of augmented central pain processing in RSTPS (136), similar to FMS (80).

**Restless Legs Syndrome**

In the restless legs syndrome (RLS), punctate mechanical stimulation by pin prick showed a significant generalized hyperalgesia in both upper and lower extremities (137), and electric stimulation of the medial plantar nerve demonstrated increased excitability of the spinal NFR with spatial spread (138). Two transcranial magnetic stimulation studies exhibited decreased inhibition (and increased facilitation) of the central motor pathways (139,140).

**Multiple Chemical Sensitivity**

It has been theorized that MCS is based on a time-dependent sensitization (TDS) model that involves sensitization of the CNS (141). Repeated environmental exposures to a low-level chemical (or a large single exposure) produces CS to that chemical, particularly involving the limbic system, in susceptible individuals. A progressive amplification of the sensitivity to that chemical then occurs with repeated exposure, reminiscent of summation. Eventually the sensitization becomes self-sustained, so that re-exposure even to a minute amount of the chemical manifests the symptoms of severe sensitization. Evidence of CS to sensory stimuli by QST and other methods is lacking, except that greater noise sensitivity has been demonstrated (141). Studies of CS similar to other CSS members are strongly suggested in MCS.

**Primary Dysmenorrhea**

Several QST studies have been performed in patients with dysmenorrhoea, presumably of the primary type. These
studies have compared pain sensibility to various stimuli across different phases of the menstrual cycle comparing dysmenorrheic and nondysmenorrheic patients. Dysmenorrheic patients showed decreased pain threshold to pressure (142), heat (142,143), and electricity (144) in the abdomen, back, and extremities, in a given menstrual phase, suggesting the CS threshold to cold pressure stimulus was increased (145), but tactile sensation was normal (142). One study showed increased amplitude by CO2 laser-evoked cerebral potential (143).

**Interstitial Cystitis**

Greater sensitivity to somatic pressure in muscles, bladder distension by normal saline, as well as ischemic stimulus (by ischemic forearm test) was demonstrated in 1 study involving 13 patients and 13 healthy controls (146).

**Posttraumatic Stress Disorder**

It has been hypothesized that the underlying mechanism of sensitization in PTSD is similar to TDS, except that it is the emotional stress (instead of chemical) that causes sensitization by a single severe exposure or repeated ones to various stresses, eg, war, torture, childhood abuse, rape, natural disasters, and terrorist attacks (147). Startle reflex (by a loud auditory tone or noise and manifested by eye blinking and increased heart rate) is exaggerated in PTSD (148). The startle response may reflect a progressive CNS neuronal sensitization following stress (148). Cerebral blood flow studies by PET have shown increased activation of the amygdala with fear acquisition and lack of activation of the cingulate cortex (149). Studies of CS by QST and other relevant methods are greatly needed in PTSD.

**Depression and Central Sensitization: Is Depression a Member of the CSS Spectrum?**

The association between chronic pain disorders (including the CSS diseases) and depression is so well established that providing a large list of references would be superfluous. Prospective studies suggest that the relationship is bidirectional: chronic pain predicts depression (150) and major depression predicts chronic pain (151). A majority of patients with depression also complained of chronic pain (152). Intriguingly, patients with depression had higher pain thresholds than controls despite much pain. The number of TPs in depression was significantly lower than that in fibromyalgia (153). In the human pain laboratory, pain perception and threshold were mostly increased in patients with depression by most stimulus modalities, as critically reviewed by Dickens and coworkers (154). Decreased pain sensitivity has been demonstrated to several modes of stimuli, eg, pressure (155,156), heat (155,156), and cutaneous electric (156). On the other hand, ischemic stimulus produces decreased pain toler-
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myalgia and different from depression have been observed in other members of CSS as well, including alpha-delta sleep (169) and enhanced cortisol suppression by the dexamethasone test (170) in CFS, and normal dexamethasone test results and blunted cortisol response to corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) in IBS (171).

Studies on anxiety are limited. In panic disorder, 2 studies showed normal responses, 1 to pressure, cold, and heat stimuli (155), and the other to electric stimulus (172). Whether psychiatric disorders should be included in the CSS spectrum is quite unresolved at this time, and further studies will be needed to answer this question.

Factors That May Contribute to, or Trigger, Central Sensitization

A suggested simplified schema of various factors that may contribute to CS and CSS is shown in Fig. 2.

**Genetic Contribution**

Pain in general is known to be modulated by genetics (173). In FMS, reduced pressure pain threshold aggregate in first-degree relatives of FMS patients, even among those without symptoms (162,174).

Genetic factors in CSS are present in virtually all its members as demonstrated by twin, family, and molecular genetic studies. CSS diseases are polygenetic and are importantly influenced by environmental factors. Genetic markers related to serotonin, dopamine, catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), and human leukocyte antigens (HLA) have been found in FMS, as reviewed by Buskila and coworkers (175). T102C polymorphism (of the 5-HT2A receptor) was associated with FMS (176), IBS (177), TMD (178,179), and migraine (179). The COMT gene predicted TMD (180). The T/T genotype showed an association with severity of pain in FMS (176). Serotonin transporter gene polymorphism was reported in FMS (175), IBS (181), TTH (182), migraine (179), CFS (183), and depression (184). CFS was associated with HLA class II alleles (185) and PTSD with dopamine transporter gene (186). Linkage with HLA (187,188) and 5-HT2A receptor (188) genes in FMS, and with 12q and 15q loci 9 (189) in RLS has been demonstrated.
**Autonomic Nervous System**

Sympathetic overactivity (often associated with sympathetic hyperactivity in response to stressors) or parasympathetic underactivity, mostly measured by spectral analysis of heart rate variability, has been reported by several studies in FMS (190), IBS (191), CFS (192), and RLS (193). Increased sympathetic activity may be related to CS, as exemplified by complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) (194), which is characterized by severe chronic pain and allodynia symptoms. There is CS in CRPS, with hyperalgesia and summation (195). Martinez-Lavin (196) suggests that sympathetic overactivity may not only cause diffuse pain, but also contribute to other symptoms of CSS, eg, poor sleep (due to sustained nocturnal sympathetic activity) and fatigue (due to deranged sympathetic response to stress).

**Neuroendocrine Dysfunction**

HPA axis dysfunction with mild or relative hypocortisolism is common to many CSS members, eg, fibromyalgia, CFS, chronic headaches, and PTSD (197). Based on animal and human data, Heim and coworkers suggest that a state of hypocortisolism and perturbed HPA axis resulting from early childhood and other stresses increases the vulnerability for developing CSS in the future, and that it is not due to the effect of chronic pain (197). The relationship between low cortisol and CS is currently uncertain, but it is possible that there is an interaction between them involving the stress mechanism.

**Psychological Factors**

Most studies show that anxiety, stress, depression, and other psychological problems are significantly more common in CSS conditions than controls in a subgroup of patients (48,198-203), with a bidirectional relationship (150,151,197). Stress plays an important role in the CSS disorders (197). However, data are sparse regarding the contribution of psychological distress to CS.

In healthy individuals, anxiety predicted temporal summation by heat stimulus (204). A correlation exists between anxiety and pain symptoms in population studies, but none by electric stimuli (205). CS evaluated by manual tender point (TP) examination correlated with psychological distress including anxiety, depression, and ill-health behavior in FMS (206,207). However, such a correlation was absent in other studies (208,209).

In FMS, catastrophizing was related to decreased pain threshold and tolerance to heat stimulus (73) and to increased activity in brain areas related to anticipation, attention, and the emotional aspects of pain, as shown by fMRI in response to pressure stimulus delivered by a rubber probe (210). Thus, psychological factors may play an important role in pain perception and pain processing in FMS. However, in IBS, pain sensitivity by rectosigmoid distension was not correlated with psychological distress (86). An association has been shown between childhood abuse and CSS conditions, eg, FMS and CFS (211), IBS (212), and headaches (213). Childhood adverse experiences may promote long-lasting neuronal plasticity that causes both physical and psychological symptoms, as well as CS among the adults.

Only a few studies assessed a relationship between childhood abuse and CS. Among healthy individuals, there is a decrease in pain sensitivity to heat and ischemic stimuli despite much pain complaints and negative affect (214). A history of adverse childhood experiences was associated with high TP counts in FMS in a population study (207), and low pain threshold in IBS by digital pressure at the periphery (212). However, there was an increased pain threshold to balloon pressure in IBS (215) and an increased pain tolerance to ischemic pain in TMD (216). The paradox between increased pain symptoms and decreased pain sensitivity in the laboratory setting among the abused patients may be explained by a psychological barrier to sharply painful stimuli in an experimental laboratory setting that may trigger a painful memory (215).

**Infection, Inflammation, Trauma, Sleep, and Environmental Factors**

General viral (48,217) or local (218) infections, as well as trauma (133,135), are reported to trigger many CSS conditions, probably through the action of inflammatory mediators that activate nociceptive fibers with resultant CS. However, there is no ongoing peripheral inflammation in FMS (219). The role of afferent neurons in CSS needs further study. A review article concluded that trauma from motor vehicle accidents (MVA) may trigger FMS in the presence of preexisting psychological vulnerabilities, and that there is a causal relationship between FMS and MVA (220), but another study failed to find an association between MVA and FMS (221). This latter article, however, has certain drawbacks, eg, a majority (60%) of the patients were male and no psychological factors were measured (221).

CS has been demonstrated in osteoarthritis (222), and several systemic diseases, eg, rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (223) and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (224,225), are associated with FMS. It is possible that arthritis (222-225) or colonic inflammation (226) activates local nociceptors that initiate or sustain CS, particularly in susceptible individuals. However, other unknown shared neuroendocrine and genetic mechanisms may be involved.

Nonrestorative sleep may cause CS. Healthy individuals subjected to disturbed sleep in a sleep EEG laboratory demonstrate multiple TP that were absent at baseline before sleep deprivation (164). Moreover, CS by algometry and other nociceptive stimuli (71,76,227) is correlated with poor sleep. Environmental stimuli, eg, noise, may
also induce CS in the experimental pain laboratory (67,99,148).

**DISCUSSION**

Historically, the concept that several CSS disorders are interconnected was first published in 1984 (228) based on the previous demonstration of associations of several CSS members with FMS (22). Fifteen years ago, attention was drawn to the fact that the pathophysiology of FMS involves aberrant central pain mechanisms (229). Now it seems likely that a major component of the central pain mechanism is CS, and it is a major binder for the CSS conditions. At this time, evidence for CS is not present in all patients of the CSS family (similar to other diseases, eg, the HLA marker in spondyloarthropathies). Based on good and converging data, there is evidence for CS in FMS, IBS, MPS/RSTS, and migraine, while modest evidence is present in TMD, RLS, and primary dysmenorrheal (PD) that require further study. Data are limited or not published for other members, eg, MCS, TTH, PTSD, CFS, and IC. However, restating a cliché, absence of proof does not mean absence; rather, proper studies addressing an array of methodological issues (see below) have not yet been performed.

The literature shows that the demonstration of CS will depend on numerous factors, many of which have not been addressed in currently available studies. A large number of elements that may affect CS include host factors (age, gender, genetics, interindividually variation in pain response), uniformity of disease classification, subgroups (eg, those with psychological distress), measurement of pain perception, threshold or tolerance, stimulus types (digital palpation, algometer, heat, electricity, ischemic, phasic versus tonic, ascending versus random, etc), sites tested, technique, and methods used (including QST, CEP, and brain imaging), types of tissues stimulated (eg, skin, subcutis, muscle, viscera), and treatment status among others. Thus, some patients not showing CS may do so if the above variables are taken into consideration. Response variability based on types of stimuli and types of tissue tested is well documented (65,66,68,69,117,123). Heat stimulator excites surface receptors, whereas cold pressor test affects deeper tissues (65). Pressure pain threshold was decreased but threshold to cutaneous electric stimulation was normal in FMS (69). Also in FMS, heat perception was normal, but heat threshold was decreased (64). These variabilities may also influence a correlation between CS and clinical or psychological symptoms.

CS is correlated with several symptoms, eg, pain (64,96,130,133,206,230), poor sleep (71,206,230), fatigue (206,207,230), and associated psychological factors (206,207,230). However, some studies failed to show a significant correlation between CS and spontaneous pain (65,66,102). This is hardly surprising considering the numerous complex factors that determine CS, as mentioned earlier, as well as the momentary nature of pain elicited in the laboratory as contrasted with chronic pain for months or years. The correlation between FMS symptoms and CS is stronger when CS is measured by TP examination, as compared with dolorimetry (65,206). TP assessed by digital pressure also shows greater correlation with psychosocial factors in comparison with dolorimetric pressure (206). It would seem that different biopsychopathology is involved in different modalities of stimuli and they do not clinically measure the same thing. At this time, there are only limited, and often contradictory, data on the relationship between CS and psychosocial factors as well as psychiatric diseases, as stated above. Given the complex and multiple facets of both CS and psychosocial factors, further studies are warranted.

CS is probably a preexisting phenomenon that may be present in asymptomatic individuals before developing symptoms and is likely to play a causative role in CSS, probably with other risk factors, eg, genetics. This view is suggested by the following: (a) the greater likelihood of asymptomatic subjects with genetically determined hypersensitivity to thermal and ischemic stimuli to develop TMD on follow-up than those without enhanced sensitivity (180); (b) the increasing degree of CS (as measured by number of TP) exists as a continuum among asymptomatic subjects, those with regional pain, and patients with widespread pain (65,65,206,207,230); (c) the presence of CS in asymptomatic first-degree relatives who are highly likely to develop a CSS condition in the future (162,174); and (d) the attenuation of CS by drugs (70,231-234) that also ameliorate symptoms during the study period (70,231-233). Thus, CS may be the cause rather than the effect of CSS diseases.

Given a correlation between CS and symptom duration (63), the relationship between CS and CSS may be bidirectional, ie, CS causes CSS, and the chronicity of CSS disease may further accentuate CS. A schematic representation of probable contributory factors of CS that may lead to CSS is shown in Fig. 2. Since psychosocial factors contribute to CS, consideration of these factors is very important in the management of CSS disorders that should involve a person-centered approach (235).

CS may not be the only mechanism causing symptoms in CSS disorders. Genetics, poor sleep, trauma, endocrine dysfunction, sympathetic overactivity, viral infection, environmental elements, psychosocial distress, and other unrecognized factors may all be independently involved through different mechanisms besides their possible or probable contributions to CS. It is possible that psychosocial distress interacts with biological factors to cause symptoms.

Should all CSS disorders be lumped together or split? There are many more similarities among them than differences, supporting the concept of group classification, as advocated by most investigators. However it is important to recognize that they are not the same diseases, and their biopathological mechanisms may vary in some aspects. In
FMS, for example, there is hyperactivity of the CRH neurons, whereas hypofunction of these neurons has been reported in CFS (168). Overlap among members of any group classification in medicine (eg, the vasculitides) does not mean total overlap, and this is also true of CSS disorders. The advantage of “lumping” of CSS is further described under “Significance of CSS” below.

In summary, the CSS paradigm seems an important new concept with considerable significance that deserves further exploration.

**Significance of CSS***

1. CSS diseases are based on both biological and psychological factors, with implications for patient and physician education and proper patient care. Thus questioning the veracity of a patient with CSS is unwarranted (236).
2. The concept of CSS will foster further research involving the CNS.
3. The recognition of mutual associations among the CSS diseases is helpful in their diagnosis and in avoiding costly and unnecessary investigations as well as surgery.
4. Since the CSS members have similar (but not the same) pathophysiological mechanisms, elucidation of a certain mechanism or treatment efficacy in 1 may apply to the others.
5. The existence of CS in an asymptomatic individual may predict symptomatic development of a CSS disease in the future.
6. The presence of CS in a disease with structural pathology, eg, RA, osteoarthritis, and SLE, would alert a physician to evaluate for a concomitant CSS condition (eg, FMS) by history and a simple TP examination (this examination would evaluate CS).
7. The presence of a CSS condition with a disease having structural pathology would need a different management approach to avoid unnecessary and harmful medications and for successful holistic patient management.
8. The CSS as a group is probably the most common medical problem for which patients consult a physician, so that greater physician interest, academic research, and adequate funding for research are imperative.
9. The presence of multiple CSS disorders in the same patient is likely to increase CS and the burden of distress (28). So, a physician should focus and help to manage not only on the presenting symptoms, in a FMS patient as an example, but also on other associated CSS conditions, eg, IBS and RLS.
10. The effect of various drugs on CS and patient symptoms can be evaluated in the human pain laboratory by testing for CS and grading the symptoms at baseline and after clinical trials of a short duration (70,231-233) followed by longer trials. The same may also be true of nonpharmacologic therapy, eg, sleep hygiene, exercise, cognitive behavioral therapy, and meditation.

The drugs that are known to attenuate CS are the NMDA receptor antagonists, ie, ketamine (70,231,232) and amitriptyline (233), and gabapentin (234), among others. More effective and safer drugs are likely to be developed in the future. Functional brain imaging may also be used to visualize the changes related to CS following drug and non-drug therapy (106,234). Noting that buprenorphine, an opioid, not only has an analgesic, but also an antihyperalgesic effect to electrically evoked pain, Simonet remarked that drugs that inhibit CS may be a new and beneficial way to manage chronic pain (237). Much future work is necessary to explore various aspects of CS and its relevance to CSS and other diseases.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS***

The author thanks Drs. Alfonse Masi, John Winfield, and William Maixner for their most helpful critique of the article, and Deeba Yunus for fine help with the references.

**REFERENCES***

Central sensitivity syndromes


120. Drummond PD. Photophobia and autonomic response to facial pain in migraine. Brain 1997;120:1857-64.


Buskila D, Neumann L. Fibromyalgia (FM) and nonarticular pain. CNS Spectr 2005;10:281-84.


