Music as a sleep aid in fibromyalgia
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BACKGROUND: Interventions to improve sleep in fibromyalgia may generalize to improvements in multiple symptom domains. Delta-embedded music, pulsating regularly within the 0.25 Hz to 4 Hz frequency band of brain wave activity, has the potential to induce sleep.

OBJECTIVES: To assess the effects of a delta-embedded music program over four weeks for sleep induction in patients with fibromyalgia.

METHODS: The present unblinded, investigator-led pilot study used a within-subject design. Analysis was based on 20 individuals with fibromyalgia who completed the study, of the 24 recruited into the study. The primary outcome variables were the change from baseline in Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) and Jenkins Sleep Scale scores. A patient global impression of change was measured on a seven-point Likert scale. Secondary outcome measures, comprised of items 5, 6 and 7 of the FIQ, were used as indicators of pain, tiredness and being tired on awakening.

RESULTS: The FIQ median score of 76.4 (95% CI 61.3 to 82.1) at baseline improved to 60.3 (95% CI 53.1 to 72.0; P=0.004). The Jenkins Sleep Scale median value of 17.5 (95% CI 15.5 to 18.5) at baseline fell to 12.5 (95% CI 8.5 to 14.5; P=0.001) at study completion. The completions of the patient global impression of change ratings were mostly positive (P=0.001). Being tired on awakening declined significantly from a median of 9.0 (95% CI 8.0 to 10.0) to 8.0 (95% CI 9.5 to 9.0; P=0.021). However, there was no significant improvement in pain level (baseline median 9.0 (95% CI 8.0 to 10.0) to 8.0 (95% CI 5.5 to 9.0; P=0.021). There were no serious adverse events.

CONCLUSIONS: Delta-embedded music is a potential alternative therapy for fibromyalgia.
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Music as a sleep aid in fibromyalgia

La musique pour favoriser le sommeil en cas de fibromyalgie

HISTORIQUE : Les interventions pour améliorer le sommeil en cas de fibromyalgie peuvent se généraliser pour susciter des améliorations dans de multiples domaines de symptômes. La musique intégrée dans des cartes Delta, pulsant régulièrement dans la bande de fréquence d’activité des ondes cérébrales de 0,25 Hz à 4 Hz, a le potentiel d’induire le sommeil.

OBJECTIFS : Évaluer les effets d’un programme de musique intégré dans des cartes Delta pendant quatre semaines pour induire le sommeil chez des patients atteints de fibromyalgie.

MÉTHODOLOGIE : Le présent projet pilote sans insu mené par des chercheurs faisait appel à une méthodologie par sujet. L’analyse reposait sur les 20 patients sur 24 atteints de fibromyalgie inclus dans l’étude qui l’avaient terminée. Les variables de résultats primaires étaient le changement des indices du questionnaire FIQ sur l’effet de la fibromyalgie et de l’échelle de sommeil de Jenkins par rapport au début de l’étude. Les chercheurs ont mesuré l’impression globale de changement de la part des patients d’après l’échelle de Likert en sept points. Ils se sont servis des mesures de résultats secondaires, composées des questions 5, 6 et 7 du questionnaire FIQ, comme indicateurs de douleur, de fatigue et de latidude à l’éveil.

RÉSULTATS : L’indice médian du questionnaire FIQ de 76,4 (95 % IC 61,3 à 82,1) en début d’étude s’améliorait à 60,3 (95 % IC 53,1 à 72,0; P=0,004). La valeur médiane de l’échelle de sommeil de Jenkins de 17,5 (95 % IC 15,5 à 18,5) en début d’étude régressait à 12,5 (95 % IC 8,5 à 14,5; P=0,001) en fin d’étude. Les résultats de l’impression globale de changement de la part des patients étaient surtout positifs (P=0,001). La lassitude à l’éveil diminuait considérablement, passant d’une médiane de 9,0 (95 % IC 8,0 à 10,0) à 8,0 (95 % IC 5,5 à 9,0; P=0,021). Cependant, il n’y avait pas d’amélioration significative du taux de douleur (médiane de 7,5 en début d’étude [95 % IC 7,0 à 8,5]) par rapport à la fin de l’étude (médiane de 6,0 [95 % IC 6,5 à 8,0]; P=0,335) ou de la fatigue (médiane de 9,0 [95 % IC 8,0 à 9,5] versus study completion median 8,0 [95% CI 6.0 to 8.5]; P=0.061). Il n’y avait pas d’amélioration significative du taux de douleur (médiane de 7,5 en début d’étude [95 % IC 7,0 à 8,5]) par rapport à la fin de l’étude (médiane de 6,0 [95 % IC 6,5 à 8,0]; P=0,335) ou de la fatigue (médiane de 9,0 [95 % IC 8,0 à 9,5] versus study completion median 8,0 [95% CI 6.0 to 8.5]; P=0.061). Aucun événement indésirable d’importance ne s’est produit.

CONCLUSIONS : La musique intégrée dans des cartes Delta est une thérapie potentielle en cas de fibromyalgie.

Fibromyalgia is a common chronic pain disorder. In addition to the defining feature of widespread pain, the range of symptoms is wide (1). Common therapeutic approaches include medications, physical therapies, psychologically based therapies and complementary/alternative modalities (2). Nevertheless, the optimal management of fibromyalgia remains unclear (3).

Morning stiffness, fatigue and nonrestorative sleep were the three most intense symptoms identified in a large Internet survey (2596 respondents). Prescription sleep medications, resting and relaxation/meditation were among the interventions rated as most effective overall for fibromyalgia symptoms (4).

Poor sleep quality is a major feature of fibromyalgia, with >90% of fibromyalgia sufferers affected in some studies (5,6). In addition, sleep disturbances influence fatigue levels and social functioning (5), quality of life (7,8) and mood (9,10).

Sleep is dependent on, and characterized by, an increase in rhythmic oscillatory coherence resulting in a rise in electropotential power in the electroencephalogram delta frequency band (0.25 Hz to 4 Hz). A regularly occurring auditory pulse at a frequency of 2 Hz has been shown to increase stimulus-locked oscillatory coherence at 2 Hz and boost delta activity (11). Music with an embedded persistent periodic stimulus at 2 Hz increases delta-band activity and has the potential to decrease sleep onset latency.

Treatment with sodium oxybate, primarily targeted to affect sleep, has been shown to have positive effects on fibromyalgia pain and other symptom domains (12-16). However, nonpharmacological measures could improve sleep quality (17) with fewer potential adverse effects. A small number of studies have evaluated music in various forms in the treatment of fibromyalgia or chronic widespread pain (18-24). Music had a positive effect on some outcome measures in all of the cited studies, with one exception (20). However, no studies have addressed the use of music as a sleep aid in fibromyalgia.

METHODS

Participants

A total of 24 volunteer subjects recruited from the Wasser Pain Management Centre (Toronto, Ontario) provided written informed consent following approval by the Mount Sinai Hospital Research Ethics Board. The trial was conducted in accordance with the principals set out in the Declaration of Helsinki (25).
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Patients were instructed to complete a compliance calendar tracking the total duration of study (‘exposure days’), number of days on which the program was used (‘usage days’) and total number of applications. The changes from baseline in the FIQ and JSS and GIC-P were the primary end points.

Items 5 (“How bad has your pain been?”), 6 (“How tired have you been?”) and 7 (“How have you felt when you get up in the morning?”) of the FIQ were used as measures of pain, tiredness and being tired on awakening.

Information about medications and other therapeutic interventions were not systematically collected.

Statistical analysis
An open within-subject repeated-measures design was used. The demographic and clinical characteristics of completers versus noncompleters were compared using t tests for equality of the means except for the sex ratios, which were compared using the $\chi^2$ test.

The Wilcoxon matched pairs test was used to compare baseline and completion values for FIQ, JSS and items 5, 6 and 7 of the FIQ. The Wilcoxon matched pairs test was applied to the GIC-P after imputing a baseline level of 4 (ie, ‘no change’). Correlations between selected measures were assessed using Kendall’s Tau-b test.

Outcomes were assessed for study completers using SPSS version 21.0.0.0 (IBM Corporation, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corporation, USA) for data analysis, taking 5% as the significance threshold. CIs for medians were estimated by bootstrapping.

RESULTS

Principal findings
Compliance calendars were completed by 19 patients. Audio program use is summarized in Table 2.

The FIQ median score of 76.4 (95% CI 61.3 to 82.1) at baseline improved to 60.3 (95% CI 53.1 to 72.0; P=0.004). The JSS median value of 17.5 (95% CI 15.5 to 18.5) at baseline decreased to 12.5 (95% CI 8.5 to 14.5; P=0.001) at study completion.

With respect to the GIC-P ratings, one subject reported worsening while five reported no change and 14 reported varying degrees of improvement. Thus, the subjective responses were mostly favourable (P=0.001). Figures 1 to 3 illustrate the principal findings.

Secondary results
The pain level, measured on the Likert scale comprising question 5 of the FIQ, was not significantly altered (baseline median 7.5 [95% CI 7.0 to 8.5]) compared with the level at study completion (median 7.0 [95% CI 6.5 to 8.0]; P=0.335).

TABLE 2
Audio program usage summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure days</td>
<td>31.7±4.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of use</td>
<td>24.7±8.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>40.8±23.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications per day</td>
<td>1.28±0.75</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be eligible, the subjects had to meet recently revised criteria for fibromyalgia (26). Subjects were required to attend both a baseline and a postcompletion data gathering session, read and write English adequately, have satisfactory hearing bilaterally (by self-report) for the appreciation of music and have the ability to operate the supplied listening device. Recruits were subject to exclusion if there was any objection of sleep/bed partner or family members to study participation or if there was a history of a seizure disorder.

No compensation was provided. However, participants were permitted to keep one copy of the supplied music program. Four participants did not attend the final assessment and were not included in the final analysis. None of the recruits were disqualified by the exclusion criteria. Table 1 details the demographic and clinical characteristics of the recruits.

Intervention
The study was of four weeks’ duration. Patients continued to receive usual care, which could vary through the course of the study. Participants were given a music program (‘Music to Promote Sleep’ on the Sonic Aid label by Somerset Entertainment) on an MP3 player. The program material was chosen specifically for its embedded content of mostly 2 Hz binaural beats. All participants received the same device and earbuds (Coby MP620-4GBLK, Coby Electronics Corporation, USA).

Subjects were instructed to initiate the audio selection at bedtime and continue at their individual discretion. Program repetition, ad libitum, in the event of awakening was permitted. Playback volume was adjusted to match the participant’s comfort level.

In the event of adverse reactions patients were advised to discontinue the intervention and report to one of the investigators.

Assessments and outcome measures
Demographic data comprising patient age, sex and fibromyalgia duration were collected for descriptive purposes. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) (27) and Jenkins Sleep Scale (JSS) (28) were administered at study initiation and as close as practicable to the end of week 4.

A patient global impression of change (GIC-P) was rated on a seven-point Likert scale (ranging from 1 [much worse] to 7 [much better]) at the final assessment.

Figure 1) Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ), baseline and final values (box and whisker plot). Lower scores indicate less severe symptoms.
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Tiredness, as measured by question 6 of the FIQ, declined from a median of 9.0 (95% CI 8.0 to 9.5) to 8.0 (95% CI 6.0 to 8.5), but this change was not statistically significant (P=0.061).

Awakening tired versus rested, as measured on the Likert scale comprising question 7 of the FIQ declined significantly from a median of 9.0 (95% CI 8.0 to 10.0) to 8.0 (95% CI 5.5 to 9.0; P=0.021).

Table 3 summarizes the two-way correlations between selected study variables. There were particularly strong correlations between the change in FIQ and changes in tiredness, awakening tired versus refreshed and GIC-P (Kendal’s Tau-b correlation coefficients 0.557, 0.551 and 0.556; P=0.001, P=0.001 and P=0.002, respectively). Patients averaged 31.7±4.0 days on treatment (range 21 to 41 days).

Adverse events
No serious adverse events were reported. Two patients reported discomfort from the earbuds.

DISCUSSION
The present open-label study evaluated the impact of a tailored music program for sleep induction in individuals with fibromyalgia over a four-week period.

Self-reported, subjective sleep quality, as assessed by the JSS, showed a significant improvement. There were also significant improvements in the FIQ and GIC-P. However, there was no significant effect on pain, possibly reflecting both small effect and small sample sizes. Also, while being tired on awakening improved, there was no effect on overall tiredness.

No serious side effects were reported. Two participants (10%) reported discomfort from the earbuds. This was not anticipated and, hence, not evaluated systematically. The true numbers may have been higher.

Because of methodological differences, direct comparisons with other studies are not possible. However, the FIQ change from baseline in the

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Duration†</th>
<th>FIQ-initial‡</th>
<th>FIQ-change§</th>
<th>Pain-change¶</th>
<th>Tiredness-change††</th>
<th>Applications§§</th>
<th>JSS-change¶¶</th>
<th>GIC-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>–0.136</td>
<td>–0.143</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>–0.261</td>
<td>–0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration†</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>–0.323</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>–0.316</td>
<td>–0.119</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>–0.316</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIQ-initial‡</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.370*</td>
<td>0.557**</td>
<td>0.551**</td>
<td>0.370*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.370*</td>
<td>0.557**</td>
<td>0.551**</td>
<td>0.370*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIQ-change§</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain-change¶</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>–0.179</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness-change††</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>–0.179</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>–0.179</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.448*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being tired on awakening-change‡‡</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>–0.376</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>–0.376</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
<td>0.386*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications§§</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.387*</td>
<td>–0.158</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.387*</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.387*</td>
<td>–0.158</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.387*</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSS-change¶¶</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
<td>0.422*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant correlations are reported in bold. *Correlation is significant at P<0.05; **Correlation is significant at P<0.01; †Fibromyalgia duration; ‡Baseline FIQ; §Change in Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) from baseline; ¶Change in FIQ item 5 from baseline; ††Change in FIQ item 6 from baseline; ‡‡Change in FIQ item 7 from baseline; §§Number of uses of the music program; ¶¶Change in Jenkins Sleep Scale score from baseline; GIC-P Patient global impression of change.
present study (−16.1 [a 21% decline]) compares favourably with that measured in the treatment arms of selected studies of pregabalin (−5.6 to −16.15) (29-33) and duloxetine (−7.96 to −16.81) (34-38). Furthermore, the magnitude of the intervention effect exceeds 8.1 points or 14% (ie, the threshold for ‘minimal clinically important difference’) (39).

In the absence of a control group, and without polysomnography, it is not possible to determine whether delta-embedded music has a quantifiable effect on the sleep patterns of fibromyalgia sufferers. Furthermore, we cannot ascertain whether the program material is optimal or whether better effects could be achieved with traditional lullabies (40) or self-selected music, with or without embedded delta rhythms. No attempt was made to control for medication effects (41). Because this was a pragmatic add-on study to usual care, changes to other treatment during the trial were permitted, in part, for ethical reasons. The results could reflect interactions with other treatment modalities or be influenced by changes in treatment outside the study. Longer studies are necessary to assess durability of effect.

The choice of earbuds was mediated by cost factors and convenience. Earphones, earbuds chosen for comfort, open field listening or the use of wireless devices may influence outcomes. Further studies using controls are necessary to rule out purely placebo effects or apparent benefit from nonstudy interventions. However, the design of a suitable inactive placebo will be problematic.

There is conflicting evidence about the influence of disturbed sleep on pain. Sleep disturbance may be hyperalgesic (42,43). However, both benzodiazepine (44,45) and nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics (‘Z drugs’) (46-48) fail to improve the pain of fibromyalgia despite positive effects on sleep.
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