

RESEARCH PAPER

The 5-HT3B subunit affects high-potency inhibition of 5-HT₃ receptors by morphine

Daniel T Baptista-Hon¹, Tarek Z Deeb², Nidaa A Othman², Douglas Sharp³ and Tim G Hales^{1,2}

¹The Institute of Academic Anaesthesia, Centre for Neuroscience, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK, ²Department of Pharmacology & Physiology, The George Washington University, Washington DC, USA, and ³Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, The George Washington University, Washington DC, USA

Correspondence

Tim G Hales, The Institute of Academic Anaesthesia, Centre for Neuroscience, University of Dundee, DD1 9SY, Scotland. E-mail: t.g.hales@dundee.ac.uk

Keywords

alkaloids; Cys-loop receptors; electrophysiology; drug dependence; opiates

Received

17 December 2010 Revised 25 March 2011 Accepted 28 June 2011

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Morphine is an antagonist at 5-HT₃A receptors. 5-HT₃ and opioid receptors are expressed in many of the same neuronal pathways where they modulate gut motility, pain and reinforcement. There is increasing interest in the 5-HT₃B subunit, which confers altered pharmacology to 5-HT₃ receptors. We investigated the mechanisms of inhibition by morphine of 5-HT₃ receptors and the influence of the 5-HT₃B subunit.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

5-HT-evoked currents were recorded from voltage-clamped HEK293 cells expressing human 5-HT3A subunits alone or in combination with 5-HT3B subunits. The affinity of morphine for the orthosteric site of 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors was assessed using radioligand binding with the antagonist [³H]GR65630.

KEY RESULTS

When pre-applied, morphine potently inhibited 5-HT-evoked currents mediated by 5-HT₃A receptors. The 5-HT3B subunit reduced the potency of morphine fourfold and increased the rates of inhibition and recovery. Inhibition by pre-applied morphine was insurmountable by 5-HT, was voltage-independent and occurred through a site outside the second membrane-spanning domain. When applied simultaneously with 5-HT, morphine caused a lower potency, surmountable inhibition of 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. Morphine also fully displaced [³H]GR65630 from 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors with similar potency.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

These findings suggest that morphine has two sites of action, a low-affinity, competitive site and a high-affinity, non-competitive site that is not available when the channel is activated. The affinity of morphine for the latter is reduced by the 5-HT3B subunit. Our results reveal that morphine causes a high-affinity, insurmountable and subunit-dependent inhibition of human 5-HT₃ receptors.

Introduction

The 5-HT type 3 (5-HT₃) receptor is a member of the cysteine (Cys)-loop pentameric ligand-gated ion channel family [receptor nomenclature follows Alexander *et al.*, (2011)]. 5-HT₃ receptors, with binding sites for 5-HT and several allosteric modulators, mediate rapid 5-hydroxytryptaminergic excitatory synaptic transmission (Sugita, 1992). The Cys-loop family of pentameric receptors also includes the nicotinic

ACh (nACh), GABA_A and glycine receptors and Zn²⁺-activated ion channels (Barnes *et al.*, 2009). 5-HT3A subunits form homomeric receptors when expressed alone and can also combine with 5-HT3B subunits into heteromeric receptors (Davies *et al.*, 1999). The 5-HT3B subunit confers several unique properties. These include a higher conductance, faster desensitization kinetics, linear current–voltage relationship and reduced sensitivity to 5-HT and some non-competitive antagonists (Davies *et al.*, 1999; Peters *et al.*, 2005). Genes



encoding 5-HT3C, 5-HT3D and 5-HT3E subunits have also been cloned but their functional significance is poorly understood (Niesler *et al.*, 2003).

Drugs that affect 5-HT₃ receptor function include competitive antagonists such as the 'setrons' (including ondansetron), the nicotinic drugs curare (Peters *et al.*, 1990), epibatidine and mecamylamine (Drisdel *et al.*, 2008), metoclopramide (Walkembach *et al.*, 2005), cannabinoids (Barann *et al.*, 2002), as well as opioid alkaloids such as methadone (Deeb *et al.*, 2009) and morphine (Fan, 1995; Wittmann *et al.*, 2006). Setron 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists are typically used to treat nausea and vomiting and, to a lesser extent, irritable bowel syndrome (Galligan, 2002). 5-HT₃ receptors also participate in drug reinforcement and reward (Carboni *et al.*, 1988; 1989; Allan *et al.*, 2001). Ondansetron is effective in the treatment of early onset alcoholism (Kranzler *et al.*, 2003) and appears to aid detoxification of heroin-dependent individuals (Ye *et al.*, 2001).

Morphine is the primary active metabolite of heroin and is widely used as an analgesic to treat severe pain. While its analgesic and hedonic effects are mediated by µ-opioid receptors, morphine-induced hyperalgesia has been observed in mice lacking μ -, δ - and κ -opioid receptors, suggesting that some actions of the drug are mediated through additional targets (Juni et al., 2007). Morphine has potent inhibitory effects on 5-HT receptor subtypes in the guinea pig ileum (Bradley et al., 1986). 5-HTM receptors, originally named for their sensitivity for morphine (Gaddum and Picarelli, 1957), were later renamed 5-HT₃ receptors (Bradley et al., 1986). Morphine competitively inhibits 5-HT₃A receptors when applied simultaneously with 5-HT (Fan, 1995; Wittmann et al., 2006). Recent studies suggest that 5-HT₃ receptors influence morphine-induced hyperalgesia and tolerance (Liang et al., 2011). An association between polymorphisms in human 5-HT3A and 5-HT3B subunit genes and heroin addiction (Levran et al., 2008; 2009) further implicates these proteins in morphine dependence. We examined the influence of the 5-HT3B subunit on the modulation of 5-HT₃ receptors by morphine. We found that the presence of the 5-HT3B subunit reduced the potency of morphine as an insurmountable antagonist but did not affect the affinity of morphine for the orthosteric binding site.

Methods

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% bovine serum, 50 µg mL⁻¹ streptomycin and 50 U·mL⁻¹ penicillin in a humid atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Cells were transfected by either calcium phosphate precipitation (for electrophysiological experiments) or Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) reagent (for radioligand binding assays) with cDNA encoding the human 5-HT3A subunit either alone or in combination with either the human 5-HT3B subunit cDNA or the 5-HT3ABA construct (in a 1:1 ratio) in the pCDM8 vector as described previously (Davies *et al.*, 1999). A fivefold lesser amount of cDNA encoding green fluorescent protein was included for electrophysiology experiments to enable identification of

successfully transfected cells by fluorescence microscopy. Cells were used 48–96 h after transfection for electrophysiological experiments.

Electrophysiological recording

The whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique was used to record currents from HEK293 cells expressing 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors. Recording electrodes were fabricated from borosilicate glass capillaries and when filled with electrode solution had resistances of $1.3-2.5 \text{ M}\Omega$. The electrode solution contained (in mM): 140 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1.1 EGTA and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4 with KOH). The extracellular solution contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 1 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES and 10 glucose (pH 7.4 with NaOH). Unless otherwise stated, cells were voltage-clamped at an electrode potential of -60 mV. 5-HT evoked currents at -60 and +60 mV were routinely compared before each experiment. A near unitary ratio of current amplitudes recorded at these potentials indicated the successful incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit (Davies et al., 1999). In experiments investigating the voltage dependence of inhibition by morphine, the voltage was adjusted between -60 and +60 mV (in 20 mV increments). Permeability ratios for Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ with respect to Cs^+ ($P_{Na\pm}/P_{Cs\pm}$ and $P_{Ca2\pm}/P_{Cs\pm}$) were determined as described previously (Livesey *et al.*, 2008). For determining P_{Na+}/P_{Cs+} , the extracellular solution was modified such that additional NaCl replaced KCl. CaCl2 and MgCl2 were both reduced to 0.1 mM to minimize the effects of divalent cations on the reversal potential. For determining P_{Ca2+}/P_{Cs+}, the extracellular solution contained (in mM): CaCl₂ 100, L-histidine 5 and glucose 10 (pH 7.2). A Cs⁺ containing intracellular solution was used, which contained (in mM): CsCl 140, CaCl₂ 0.1, EGTA 1.1, HEPES 10 (pH 7.2) Currents were elicited using 1 µM 5-HT. At the plateau of the 5-HT induced current, a voltage ramp protocol was applied by stepping from -60 mV to -100 mV for 100 ms and ramped to +60 mV within 1 s. Current in the absence of 5-HT was subtracted from current in the presence of 5-HT to obtain the current-voltage relationship attributable to 5-HT. The reversal potential (E_{5-HT}) was used to determine the permeability ratios. No correction was made for the compensation for liquid junction potential except in the determination of E_{5-HT} for Na⁺ and Ca²⁺. Liquid junction potentials arising at the tip of the electrode were determined empirically as described previously (Fenwick et al., 1982) and corrected *post hoc*. Currents were recorded using an Axopatch 200B amplifier, low-pass filtered at 2 KHz, digitized at 10 KHz using a Digidata 1320A interface and acquired using pCLAMP8 software (all from Molecular Devices, CA) on to the hard drive of a personal computer for off-line analysis. All experiments were performed at room temperature.

Drug application

5-HT₃ receptors were activated either by pressure (10 psi) ejection (Picospritzer II, General Valve Corp., Fairfield, NJ) of 5-HT from a micropipette placed close to the cell, or by the three-pipe Perfusion Fast-Step (SF-77B) solution exchange system (Warner Instruments, CA). Solution flow (0.3 mL·min⁻¹) through the pipes was controlled by a syringe pump (Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL). The voltage-clamped cell was lifted from the base of the recording chamber and



placed in front of the stream of control solution. Perfusion pipes were moved rapidly, exposing cells to morphine or 5-HT, either alone or in combination. With an open electrode tip, the 10–90% rise time for junction currents generated by moving between adjacent perfusion pipes containing osmotically dissimilar solutions was approximately 1 ms. The 10–90% rise time for currents evoked by rapidly applying 5-HT (100 μ M) to HEK293 cells expressing 5-HT₃ receptors was approximately 12 ms. Therefore, the solution 10–90% exchange time around the whole cell was 1–12 ms. The 5-HT₃A receptor concentration–response relationships determined by measuring peak current amplitudes generated by 5-HT, applied either rapidly or by pressure application, were similar (data not shown). The recording chamber was continuously perfused with extracellular solution (5 mL·min⁻¹).

Morphine sulphate was diluted from frozen stocks (10 mM) into the extracellular solution on the day of recording except in the case of the 1 mM morphine solution used to test agonist actions. In this case, morphine was dissolved directly into the recording solution. Morphine was either applied alone, co-applied with 5-HT or pre-applied prior to exposure to 5-HT. An interval of at least 60 s was allowed between each 5-HT application to enable the receptors to recover from desensitization.

Data analysis

The peak amplitudes of agonist-activated currents were measured using pCLAMP8 software. Systematic effects of 5-HTevoked current rundown were corrected using linear regression analysis, normalizing current amplitudes to that evoked by 100 μ M 5-HT. 5-HT concentration–response relationships were fitted with the following logistic function:

$$I = 100/(1 + (EC_{50}/C)^{n_{\rm E}})$$

where *I* is the 5-HT activated current amplitude (as % of the current activated by 100 μ M 5-HT), EC₅₀ is the 5-HT concentration eliciting a half maximal response, *C* is the agonist concentration and *n*_H is the Hill coefficient. Schild analysis was performed by plotting the *p*EC₅₀ values for 5-HT against morphine concentration. A slope of unity indicated that the inhibition was competitive. IC₅₀ values for pre-applied morphine were determined using a modified version of this equation as described previously (Adodra and Hales, 1995).

Determining permeability ratios

Permeability ratios were calculated from measurements of E_{5-HT} and calculated ion activities as described previously (Livesey *et al.*, 2008). P_{Na+}/P_{Cs+} ratios were calculated from the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz equation:

$$E_{\text{5-HT}} = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{(P_{\text{Na}}/P_{\text{Cs}})[\text{Na}^+]_0}{[\text{Cs}^+]_i}$$

where *R*, *T* and *F* have their standard meanings, $[Na^+]_o$ and $[Cs^+]_i$ are the calculated activities of extracellular Na⁺ and internal Cs⁺ respectively. For the calculation of P_{Ca2+}/P_{Cs+}, a modified version of the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz equation was used (Brown *et al.*, 1998; Livesey *et al.*, 2008):

$$\exp^{E_{S-HT}F/RT} = 4 \frac{P_{Ca}[Ca^{2+}]_{0}}{P_{Cs}(1 + \exp^{E_{S-HT}F/RT})[Cs^{+}]_{s}}$$

Where $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ is the calculated ion activity of external Ca^{2+} .

Statistics

All data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Statistical significance (P < 0.05) was established using either Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA with the *post hoc* Tukey's test.

Radioligand binding assay

HEK293 cells transiently expressing 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors were washed and harvested in HBSS and prepared for radioligand binding as described previously (Wu et al., 2010). Cells were centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min, supernatant was removed and pellets were either used immediately for [³H]GR65630 (specific activity of 77 Ci mmol⁻¹) binding or stored at -80°C for later use. A crude membrane fraction of thawed cells was obtained by suspension in 10 mL ice-cold 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.5) and centrifugation for 30 min at 40 000 \times g. Membranes were then resuspended in 50 mM HEPES buffer by ultrasonication, and the total protein concentration was measured using a Bradford assay. For competition binding studies, 100 µg of protein was incubated with [³H]GR65630 (150 pM) for 60 min at 25°C with morphine (10 nM to 1 mM) in a final volume of 1 mL. The reaction was halted by rapid vacuum filtration using a Brandel cell harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg, MD) with Whatman GF/B filter papers pre-soaked in 0.5 M polyethyleneamine in 50 mM HEPES buffer. Filters were allowed to air dry for 15 min and then assayed for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. For saturation binding studies, 100 µg of protein was incubated with [³H]GR65630 ranging from 10 pM to 10 nM. Non-specific binding for both types of assay was determined in the presence of 1 µM ondansetron, and all experiments were performed in duplicate. Values of morphine binding affinity (K_i) were calculated using the Cheng–Prusoff equation (Cheng and Prusoff, 1973):

$$K_{\rm i} = {\rm IC}_{50} / ((1 + (L/K_{\rm d})))$$

where, IC_{50} is the concentration of morphine or 5-HT required to displace 50% of bound [³H]GR65630, *L* is the concentration of [³H]GR65630 in competition binding experiments and K_d is the dissociation constant for [³H]GR65630 determined from saturation binding.

Materials

Morphine sulphate and 5-HT hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO and Poole, UK respectively). [³H]GR65630 was purchased from Perkin Elmer (Waltham, MA). All tissue culture reagents were from Invitrogen. All other reagents used in experimental solutions were of the highest laboratory grade purchased from Sigma (Poole, UK) or Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK).



Results

*High-potency insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT*₃ *receptors by pre-applied morphine*

In addition to its orthodox interaction with the μ -opioid receptor, morphine directly inhibits 5-HT-activated currents mediated by 5-HT₃A receptors (Fan, 1995; Wittmann *et al.*, 2006). We used the whole-cell patch-clamp technique to record currents from voltage-clamped HEK293 cells transiently expressing human 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors. Morphine (0.03–10 μ M) applied to the recording chamber \geq 120 s prior to 5-HT caused a concentration-dependent inhibition of currents evoked by 5-HT (30 μ M) applied locally to HEK293 cells expressing recombinant 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors (Figure 1A). 5-HT was unable to overcome inhibition of 5-HT₃A receptors by morphine (10 μ M) even when applied at high concentrations (Figure 1B). These data demonstrate that the pre-application of morphine caused insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT₃ receptors.

The IC₅₀ values for morphine were 0.33 \pm 0.07 μM for the 5-HT₃A receptor and 1.2 \pm 0.1 μM for the 5-HT₃AB receptor. Therefore, the incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit caused an approximate fourfold reduction in the potency of pre-applied morphine as an antagonist of the 5-HT₃ receptor.

Morphine caused a slowing of receptor activation (Figure 1B inset). In the absence of morphine, the 10–90% rise time of 5-HT-evoked current was $12 \pm 2 \text{ ms}$ (n = 6) and $12 \pm 1 \text{ ms}$ (n = 5) for 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors respectively. In the presence of pre-applied morphine (10μ M), the 10–90% rise times were $48 \pm 8 \text{ ms}$ (n = 6) and $35 \pm 6 \text{ ms}$ (n = 5) for 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors respectively. The slowing in 10–90% rise time in the presence of morphine was statistically significant for both homomeric (P < 0.005, paired *t*-test) and heteromeric receptors (P = 0.01, paired *t*-test).

Morphine is not a 5-*HT*³ *receptor agonist*

A previous study demonstrated that the alkaloid apomorphine acts as a weak partial agonist at 5-HT₃ receptors (van Hooft and Vijverberg, 1998). If morphine acts as a partial agonist, its pre-application could induce desensitization. Under these circumstances, subsequently applied 5-HT would be unable to maximally activate receptors. Such a phenomenon could produce an insurmountable inhibition by pre-applied morphine. We examined whether morphine (1 mM) activated 5-HT₃ receptors when applied rapidly to cells expressing 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors. Cells, expressing either receptor subtype, that responded robustly to 5-HT (30 µM), failed to exhibit currents in response to morphine $(n \ge 3, \text{ data not shown})$. This suggests that morphine lacks efficacy as an agonist of 5-HT3 receptors and, taken together with the morphine pre-application findings, suggests that the high-potency insurmountable inhibition occurs through non-competitive antagonism and not through desensitization.

Inhibition of 5-HT₃ receptors by simultaneously applied morphine

Previous studies in which morphine and 5-HT were applied simultaneously suggest that its antagonism is competitive (Fan, 1995; Wittmann *et al.*, 2006). We investigated the effect



Figure 1

High-potency insurmountable antagonism of 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors by morphine. (A) Concentration-response relationships of pre-applied morphine on 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. Each point represents the mean \pm SEM of at least four recordings from different cells. Data are normalized to the current at 30 μM 5-HT in the absence of morphine. The logistic fit to the concentration-response curve yielded an IC_{50} of 0.33 \pm 0.07 μM for 5-HT_3A receptors and $1.15 \pm 0.11 \,\mu\text{M}$ for 5-HT₃AB receptors. Morphine was either bath applied or pre-applied for 2 s using a rapid application system (see Methods). Inset shows representative, superimposed traces of currents recorded from 5-HT₃A receptor expressing HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of 0.3, 1 and 10 μ M morphine pre-applied prior to 5-HT (30 µM). (B) Graph of the 5-HT concentration-response relationship in the absence and presence of pre-applied morphine (10 µM). Current amplitudes are normalized to the amplitude of control currents activated by 100 µM 5-HT. When morphine was pre-applied, the inhibition of the 5-HT-induced current was insurmountable even by maximally efficacious concentrations of 5-HT. Inset shows superimposed traces of 5-HT (3-300 µM)-induced currents in the presence of pre-applied morphine (10 μ M). The grey trace represents part of a control current induced by 5-HT (100 μ M) provided for comparison.



Table 1

Parameters of 5-HT concentration-response relationships in the presence and absence of simultaneously applied morphine

| | 5-HT ₃ A receptor | | | 5-HT₃AB receptor | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | EC₅₀ (μM) | I _{max} (%) | Hill slope | EC50 (μM) | - I _{max} (%) | Hill slope |
| | 51.04 | 100 | 12.02 | 14 | 110 | 1.0 . 0.1 |
| 5-H1 alone | 5.1 ± 0.6 | 102 ± 3 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 16 ± 2 | 110 ± 4 | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| 5-HT + 3 μ M morphine | 8.2 ± 1.1 | 102 ± 4 | $2.0~\pm~0.4$ | NT | NT | NT |
| 5-HT + 30 μ M morphine | 22 ± 3 | 107 ± 4 | $1.9~\pm~0.3$ | 30 ± 8 | $108~\pm~10$ | $1.4~\pm~0.3$ |
| 5-HT + 100 μM morphine | 41 ± 4* | $105~\pm~3$ | $1.8~\pm~0.2$ | 51 ± 5* | 104 ± 3 | $1.8~\pm~0.2$ |
| 5-HT + 300 μ M morphine | 175 ± 22* | $101~\pm~5$ | $1.9~\pm~0.3$ | $221~\pm~16^{\star}$ | 109 ± 4 | $1.8~\pm~0.2$ |

5-HT concentration–response relationships in the presence and absence of simultaneously applied morphine at the concentrations indicated. Current amplitudes were normalised to those recorded from the same cell by $100 \ \mu$ M 5-HT alone. Concentration–response relationships were fitted with a logistic equation and are shown in Figure 2, yielding the tabulated values.

*Significantly different from corresponding value for 5-HT alone, P < 0.05, ANOVA, *post hoc* Dunnett's test. NT. not tested.

of simultaneously applied morphine on the 5-HT concentration-response relationship (Figure 2A and B). Locally applied 5-HT (1-1000 µM) caused concentrationdependent activation of recombinant 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors expressed in HEK293 cells (Figure 2C and D, Table 1). Consistent with previous reports (Davies et al., 1999; Stewart et al., 2003), 5-HT had a lower potency as an agonist of heteromeric 5-HT₃AB receptors compared to homomeric 5-HT₃A receptors (Table 1). The simultaneous application of morphine (3-300 µM) reduced the amplitude of 5-HT₃A receptor-mediated currents evoked by low concentrations of 5-HT, but the inhibition was completely surmounted by high concentrations of 5-HT (Figure 2A and B, Table 1). The rightward shift in the 5-HT concentration-response relationship was dependent on morphine concentration. Simultaneous application of morphine (30-300 µM) also led to a surmountable inhibition of 5-HT (1-1000 µM)-evoked currents recorded from HEK293 cells expressing recombinant 5-HT₃AB receptors (Figure 2C and D, Table 1). Similar to its effect on the 5-HT₃A receptor, morphine caused rightward shifts of the 5-HT concentration-response relationship mediated by the 5-HT₃AB receptor (Figure 2D; Table 1).

Schild analysis of the shift in pEC_{50} values in the absence and presence of morphine (as described in Methods) yielded slopes of 0.8 and 1.2 for 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors respectively (Figure 2B and D). These values did not significantly deviate from unity when compared with the 95% confidence intervals of the fits. These analyses indicate that the interaction between simultaneously applied morphine and 5-HT₃ receptors is competitive.

Comparison of the concentration–response relationships for morphine with pre- and simultaneous application reveals a marked reduction in potency for inhibition of both 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors using the latter protocol. IC₅₀ values for morphine were compared at 10 μ M 5-HT for 5-HT₃A receptors and 30 μ M for 5-HT₃AB receptors, to reflect differences in the potency of 5-HT at these receptor subtypes. The IC₅₀ values of simultaneously applied morphine for the 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors were 5.2 ± 1.5 and 39 ± 6 μ M respectively (data not shown). These values are significantly higher than those obtained for pre-applied

morphine (P < 0.05, *t*-test) and suggest that high-potency non-competitive inhibition by morphine requires its application prior to 5-HT. We also determined the apparent binding affinity of morphine to 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors from the Schild analysis described above. The K_d values for the competitive interaction of morphine with 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors were 18 and 55 µM respectively.

Morphine is a competitive inhibitor of [³*H*]*GR65630 binding*

We used radioligand binding of [³H]GR65630 to investigate further the competitive binding of morphine to 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. GR65630 binds to the orthosteric site and is therefore a competitive antagonist. Saturation binding experiments revealed that [³H]GR65630 bound to 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors with an affinity of 0.15 \pm 0.07 and 0.17 \pm 0.02 nM respectively (Figure S1). These values are consistent with published reports of [³H]GR65630 affinity at 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors (Walstab *et al.*, 2010).

Morphine displaced [³H]GR65630 (150 pM) from 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors with IC₅₀ values of $27 \pm 4 \,\mu$ M (n = 4) and $19 \pm 4 \,\mu$ M (n = 4) respectively (Figure 3). The K_i values for morphine calculated using the Cheng–Prusoff equation were $13 \pm 2 \,\mu$ M for 5-HT₃A and $8 \pm 1 \,\mu$ M for 5-HT₃AB receptors. The data suggest that morphine binds to the 5-HT/GR65630 binding site on 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors with a similar affinity.

The antagonism of 5*-HT*³ *receptors by morphine lacks voltage dependence and is not mediated through the channel pore*

We previously demonstrated that the opioid methadone caused a non-competitive inhibition of 5-HT₃AB receptors through voltage-dependent channel blockade (Deeb *et al.*, 2009). We examined whether non-competitive inhibition by pre-applied morphine also exhibited voltage dependence by recording currents mediated by 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors at different holding potentials in the presence and absence of the opioid. Morphine (3 μ M), bath applied to cells



Figure 2

Competitive and surmountable antagonism of 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors by morphine simultaneously applied with 5-HT. (A) Superimposed traces of 5-HT (1–300 μ M)-induced currents recorded from the same HEK293 cell expressing 5-HT₃A receptors in the absence and presence of simultaneously applied morphine (10 μ M). (B) Graph of the concentration–response relationship for 5-HT in the presence of increasing concentrations (3–300 μ M) of co-applied morphine on 5-HT₃A receptors. Inset, Schild plot fitted with a linear regression with a slope of 0.8. (C) Superimposed traces of 5-HT (1–300 μ M)-induced currents recorded from the same HEK293 cell expressing 5-HT₃AB heteromeric receptors in the absence and presence of morphine (30 μ M) applied simultaneously with 5-HT. (D) Graph of the 5-HT₃AB receptor concentration–response relationship for activation by 5-HT in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of morphine. Inset, Schild plot fitted with a linear regression with a slope of 1.2. Current amplitudes in the concentration–response relationships are expressed as percentage of the mean current induced by 5-HT (100 μ M) in control conditions. Each data point represents the mean ± SEM of at least four recordings from separate cells. EC₅₀ values and Hill coefficients were determined from logistic fits to the concentration–response curves and are summarized in Table 1.





Figure 3

Morphine competes for the binding site of the competitive antagonist [3 H]GR65630 on 5-HT₃ receptors. Membranes containing 5-HT₃A receptors were incubated with 150 pM [3 H]GR65630. See Figure S1 for saturation binding of [3 H]GR65630. The graph in this figure shows the reduction of specific [3 H]GR65630 binding (expressed as percent control) with increasing concentrations of morphine. Each data point represents the mean \pm SEM of at least three separate binding assays. Morphine inhibited [3 H]GR65630 binding to 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors with IC₅₀ values of 27 and 19 μ M respectively.

expressing either 5-HT₃A or 5-HT₃AB receptors, inhibited 5-HT (30 μ M)-evoked currents at potentials between –60 and 60 mV. There was no change in the inhibition of the peak current amplitude by morphine at any potential (n = 3, data not shown). This is consistent with a lack of voltage-dependent open channel blockade by morphine.

A lack of voltage-dependent inhibition does not necessarily rule out a channel blocking effect of morphine, which could occur through an interaction with pore residues beyond the sphere of influence of the membrane potential. The channel pore is lined by second transmembrane (M2) domains, one M2 provided by each of the five 5-HT3 subunits. We investigated whether the introduction of the M2 domain of the 5-HT3B subunit into the 5-HT3A subunit was sufficient to confer reduced sensitivity to insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT₃ receptors by morphine. The 5-HT3ABA construct (Figure 4A) was produced by replacing residues 269-298 of the 5-HT3A by those of the 5-HT3B subunit (Kelley et al., 2003). As reported previously, the 5-HT3ABA construct (referred to from here onwards as ABA) failed to form functional receptors when expressed alone. However, when combined with the 5-HT3A subunit, the resulting 5-HT₃A + ABA heteromeric receptors were distinguishable from 5-HT₃A receptors by virtue of their low permeability to Ca^{2+} relative to Cs^+ (Figure 4B). The P_{Ca2+}/P_{Cs+} values for 5-HT₃A, 5-HT₃AB and 5-HT₃A + ABA receptors were 1.1 ± 0.1 $(n = 4), 0.4 \pm 0.1 (n = 4)$ and $0.5 \pm 0.1 (n = 4)$ respectively. The permeability to Na⁺ was similar for all three receptor types (Figure 4B). Having demonstrated that the ABA construct is functionally incorporated as 5-HT₃A + ABA heteromeric



Figure 4

The M2 domain is not responsible for the insurmountable antagonism by pre-applied morphine. (A) Schematic diagram of the 5-HT3ABA construct, which is the 5-HT3A subunit containing the 5-HT3B M2 domain. (B) Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ permeability ratios with respect to Cs⁺ for 5-HT₃A, 5-HT₃AB and 5-HT₃A + ABA receptors. P_{Ca2+}/P_{Cs+} in 5-HT₃AB and 5-HT₃A + ABA receptors are significantly lower than that of 5-HT₃A receptor (P < 0.05, ANOVA, post hoc Tukey's test), thus confirming the incorporation of the ABA construct into heteromeric receptors. (C) Bar graph shows the current elicited by 5-HT (30 μ M) following pre-application of morphine (1 μ M) expressed as percent control current amplitude. The % control values for 5-HT₃A, 5-HT₃AB and 5-HT₃A + ABA receptors were $30 \pm 6\%$, 52 \pm 4% and 26 \pm 4% respectively. The values for 5-HT_3A and 5-HT_3A +ABA receptors are significantly lower than that of 5-HT₃AB receptors (P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA, post hoc Tukey's test), indicating that thepresence of the 5-HT3B M2 domain has no effect on the inhibition by pre-applied morphine.



receptors, we investigated whether the presence of the 5-HT3B M2 influenced inhibition by morphine. Pre-applied morphine (1 μ M) caused a >70% inhibition of both the 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃A + ABA receptors (Figure 4C). By contrast, morphine (1 μ M) caused an approximately 50% inhibition of 5-HT₃AB receptors. The 5-HT3B M2 had no discernable influence on the inhibition by pre-applied morphine. Taken together, these data suggest that insurmountable inhibition by morphine occurs through a site outside the channel pore.

Activation of 5-HT₃ receptors prevents the non-competitive inhibition by morphine

Cells expressing 5-HT₃A receptors were activated by stepping rapidly into 5-HT (100 μ M) either alone or in combination with morphine (10 μ M) for 2 s. Currents recorded in the presence or absence of simultaneously applied morphine were indistinguishable (Figure S2). Desensitization kinetics of 5-HT-evoked currents were also unaffected by morphine. These data suggest that morphine binds more slowly than 5-HT, and, once activated, 5-HT₃ receptors are resistant to the high-potency insurmountable component of morphine inhibition.

Kinetics of high-potency insurmountable inhibition by morphine

We used rapid application to examine the time course for the onset and reversal of the insurmountable inhibition by morphine. We explored the morphine pre-application time required for the onset of the insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT-evoked currents by stepping into morphine (10 $\mu M)$ for durations between 0 and 100 ms (in 10 ms increments) before stepping into 5-HT (100 μ M) plus morphine (10 μ M). Insurmountable inhibition in this context is defined as the failure of 5-HT (100 µM) to reverse the inhibitory effect of morphine (10 µM). Figure 5A shows a representative experiment. Morphine had no effect on the 5-HT-evoked current when applied for 10 ms prior to 5-HT₃A receptor activation. The appearance of insurmountable inhibition by morphine had a time constant (τ) of 35 \pm 11 ms (n = 4; Figure 5C). Incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit led to a reduction in the onset time constant for insurmountable inhibition to 17 \pm 2 ms (n = 4). The difference between the onset time constants of homomeric and heteromeric receptors was statistically significant (*P* < 0.0001, *t*-test). These data suggest that morphine can reach its high-potency site faster when the 5-HT3B subunit is present.

We also looked at the reversal time (offset time course) for the insurmountable inhibition by morphine. Cells expressing 5-HT₃A receptors were stepped rapidly into a maximally efficacious concentration of morphine (10 μ M) for 2 s (Figure 5B). From our onset kinetics experiments (see above), we established that 100 ms was sufficient for maximal inhibition. Following this length of exposure to morphine, cells were rapidly stepped into a morphine-free solution for durations of between 0 and 1.3 s (in 100 ms increments), before activation by 100 μ M 5-HT (Figure 5B). After a period of 300– 400 ms, during which there was no recovery in the amplitude of 5-HT-induced current, currents recover with a mean time constant of 0.53 \pm 0.04 s (n = 6) for 5-HT₃A receptors (Figure 5D). Incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit reduced both lag time (100–200 ms) and recovery time constant (0.32 \pm 0.07 s; n = 5). The difference in recovery time constants between 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors was significant as determined by the *t*-test (P = 0.02). These results suggest that morphine has a lower affinity when pre-applied to heteromeric receptors and therefore dissociates faster, consistent with the lower potency of inhibition of 5-HT₃AB compared with 5-HT₃A receptors (Figure 1A).

Discussion

There are two components to the inhibitory actions of morphine on $5\text{-}HT_3$ receptors. A potent insurmountable inhibition occurred when morphine was applied prior to $5\text{-}HT_3$ receptor activation. The presence of the 5-HT3B subunit caused an approximate fourfold reduction in the potency of this component of morphine's inhibition. By contrast, when applied simultaneously with 5-HT, morphine caused a lowerpotency insurmountable inhibition of $5\text{-}HT_3$ receptors. The affinity of morphine for the orthosteric binding site was not influenced by subunit composition.

Low-potency competitive inhibition of human 5-HT₃ receptors is a property shared by several heterocyclic alkaloids including the opioids methadone and fentanyl (Wittmann et al., 2008; Deeb et al., 2009). It is not surprising that this component of inhibition by morphine was unaffected by the 5-HT3B subunit because competitive inhibitors appear not to discriminate between homomeric 5-HT₃A and heteromeric 5-HT₃AB receptors (Brady et al., 2001). A recent study suggests that the orthosteric binding site may be restricted to the interface between adjacent 5-HT3A subunits in both 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors (Lochner and Lummis, 2010). Our observation that the binding affinities of [3H]GR65630 and 5-HT were unaffected by incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit is consistent with this proposal. This implies that the lower potency of 5-HT as an agonist at human 5-HT₃AB compared with 5-HT₃A receptors (Davies et al., 1999) is not caused by differing binding affinities but may instead reflect a lower efficacy of gating in the former (Colquhoun, 1998). Indeed, substitution of residues outside the 5-HT₃A receptor agonist binding site within the intracellular M3-M4 loop alter the potency of 5-HT (Livesey et al., 2008).

In contrast to competitive antagonists, some noncompetitive antagonists do discriminate between 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. For example, the 5-HT3B subunit reduces the potency of inhibition by picrotoxin, a non-competitive use-dependent antagonist that interacts with residues in the channel pore within the M2 domains of 5-HT₃ receptors as well as other Cys-loop receptors (Das and Dillon, 2003). By contrast, in addition to its competitive antagonism of 5-HT₃ receptors, methadone also causes a non-competitive voltagedependent blockade, which is dependent on the presence of the 5-HT3B subunit (Deeb et al., 2009). The insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors by morphine is different from both of these examples in that it is neither usenor voltage-dependent. This implies that morphine does not require access to the open channel. The use of a chimeric ABA construct, in which the 5-HT3A subunit's M2 is replaced by that of the 5-HT3B subunit, revealed that the actions of morphine were not mediated via the channel pore. The





Figure 5

Onset and offset time course of inhibition by pre-applied morphine of 5-HT-induced currents mediated by 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. (A) Typical traces of sequential 5-HT-induced currents recorded from an HEK293 cell expressing 5-HT₃A receptors. The cell was pre-exposed to morphine (10 μ M) for progressively longer durations (10 ms increments) before the application of 5-HT (100 μ M) plus morphine (10 μ M). (B) currents recorded from a cell expressing 5-HT₃A receptors pre-exposed to morphine (10 μ M) for 2 s followed by progressively increasing wash durations (100 ms increments) before the application of 5-HT (100 μ M) plus morphine (10 μ M). The first and last traces are shown in black, and the protocol for solution change is shown above. (C) Mean onset and (D) offset time courses for pre-applied morphine inhibition in 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. Graphs show the mean peak amplitude [as % of control 5-HT (100 μ M)-evoked current] with either increasing exposure time (for onset kinetics in C) or increasing wash time (for offset kinetics in D) for 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors. In experiments involving 5-HT₃AB receptors, a higher concentration of morphine was used (30 μ M), reflecting the lower-potency inhibition of heteromeric receptors (Figure 1). Each data point represents the mean \pm SEM of four to six experiments. A single exponential function was fitted to each set of data. The time constants for the onset of morphine inhibition were 0.53 \pm 0.04 s (n = 6) and 0.32 \pm 0.07 s (n = 5) for the 5-HT₃A receptor and 5-HT₃AB receptor respectively. There were significant differences between the onset and offset time constants (P < 0.0001 for onset, P = 0.02 for offset) for homomeric and heteromeric receptors.

potency of morphine was unaffected by the presence of the 5-HT3B M2.

Similar to its effect on inhibition by morphine, the 5-HT3B subunit reduces the potency of 5-HT₃ receptor inhibition by the heterocyclic alkaloid tubocurarine. Reduced tubocurarine potency conferred by the 5-HT3B subunit is relatively small compared with the remarkable shift between 5-HT₃A receptors of differing species (Mair *et al.*, 1998; Davies *et al.*, 1999). Rodent 5-HT₃A receptors are approximately 100-fold more sensitive to tubocurarine block than are human 5-HT₃A receptors and this effect has been traced to several

residues within the agonist binding site (Hope *et al.*, 1999; Zhang *et al.*, 2007). As the 5-HT3B subunit appears not to affect binding to the agonist binding site, the modest reduction in the potency of tubocurarine inhibition conferred by the subunit is also likely to be caused by an interaction of the molecule with additional residues outside the site.

The observation that receptor activation prevents insurmountable inhibition by morphine suggests that the high affinity non-competitive site becomes unavailable. X-ray crystallographic structural models in the resting and agonist bound conformations of the acetylcholine binding protein



(evolutionarily related to the Cys-loop receptors) reveal movement upon agonist binding within and around the orthosteric binding site (Hibbs et al., 2009). The binding site becomes capped by loop C, a conformation that in the 5-HT₃ receptor may be incompatible with high-affinity morphine binding. The structures of the bacterial pentameric ligandgated ion channels isolated from Erwinia chrysanthemi and Gloeobacter violaceus in presumed closed and open conformations, respectively, reveal additional movement throughout the membrane spanning M1-M4 domains (Bocquet et al., 2009; Hilf and Dutzler, 2009). The slow onset rate of insurmountable inhibition by morphine, revealed by fast application, suggests that the binding site suffers from poor accessibility even in the resting receptor. The faster rate of onset upon incorporation of the 5-HT3B subunit suggests that accessibility to the site of high-potency inhibition by morphine improves and offers a strategy for additional future chimeric studies targeting its location.

The significance of 5-HT_3 receptor inhibition to the behavioural effects of morphine remains unclear. Interestingly, the 5-HT_3 receptor antagonist ondansetron aids detoxification from heroin (Ye *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, 5-HT_3 receptor antagonism decreases both the morphine-induced stimulation of dopamine release within the nucleus accumbens and reward associated with morphine administration (Carboni *et al.*, 1988; 1989). Therefore, 5-HT_3 receptor inhibition by morphine may mitigate reward. Recent studies demonstrate that 5-HT_3 receptor antagonism may also reduce morphine-induced hyperalgesia and analgesic tolerance (Liang *et al.*, 2011).

Neuronal µ-opioid receptors in the brain mediate the hedonic actions of morphine (Matthes et al., 1996). The morphine IC₅₀ for 5-HT₃A receptor inhibition is close to its EC₅₀ for activation of u receptors (McPherson et al., 2010). Morphine is therefore likely to be present in the brain during heroin-induced euphoria, at a sufficient concentration to bind to the non-competitive site on inactive 5-HT₃A receptors, and impair their subsequent activation by 5-HT. However, the affinity of morphine for this site is reduced by the 5-HT3B subunit as revealed by both a higher IC₅₀ value and a substantially accelerated recovery from inhibition, consistent with faster dissociation of morphine from heteromeric receptors. Furthermore, following i.v. administration to patients, morphine can reach a concentration of 50 nM in the CSF (Meineke et al., 2002). According to our concentration-response relationships, this concentration causes inhibition of 5-HT₃A receptors but has a negligible effect on 5-HT₃AB receptors. Therefore, although the difference in sensitivity of the two receptor subtypes to morphine is relatively modest, at clinically relevant concentrations, heteromeric 5-HT₃AB receptors may be spared from morphine inhibition.

5-HT3A and 5-HT3B subunit-specific antibodies reveal the presence of both subunits in human and rodent brain (Brady *et al.*, 2007; Doucet *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, polymorphisms affecting the 5-HT3B subunit are associated with major depression and bipolar affective disorder (Frank *et al.*, 2004; Yamada *et al.*, 2006; Krzywkowski *et al.*, 2007). Recent studies reveal that polymorphisms in genes encoding 5-HT3A and 5-HT3B subunits are also associated with heroin dependence (Levran *et al.*, 2008; 2009). These polymorphisms lie

outside the genes' open reading frames and are therefore most likely to affect subunit expression. It remains to be determined whether there are altered levels of homomeric versus heteromeric 5-HT₃ receptors in individuals harbouring these polymorphisms. However, our demonstration that the potency of insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT₃ receptors is reduced by the 5-HT3B subunit provides a mechanism by which altered expression of genes encoding subunits of the 5-HT₃ receptor may influence the effects of morphine.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and the National Science Foundation, USA. We would also like to thank Professors JA Peters and JJ Lambert for kindly providing the 5-HT3ABA construct.

Conflicts of Interest

None to declare.

References

Adodra S, Hales TG (1995). Potentiation, activation and blockade of GABA_A receptors of clonal murine hypothalamic GT1-7 neurones by propofol. Br J Pharmacol 115: 953–960.

Alexander SPH, Mathie A, Peters JA (2011). Guide to Receptors and Channels (GRAC), 5th edition. Br J Pharmacol 164: S1–S324.

Allan AM, Galindo R, Chynoweth J, Engel SR, Savage DD (2001). Conditioned place preference for cocaine is attenuated in mice over-expressing the 5-HT₃ receptor. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 158: 18–27.

Barann M, Molderings G, Bruss M, Bonisch H, Urban BW, Gothert M (2002). Direct inhibition by cannabinoids of human 5-HT₃A receptors: probable involvement of an allosteric modulatory site. Br J Pharmacol 137: 589–596.

Barnes NM, Hales TG, Lummis SC, Peters JA (2009). The 5-HT₃ receptor – the relationship between structure and function. Neuropharmacology 56: 273–284.

Bocquet N, Nury H, Baaden M, Le Poupon C, Changeux JP, Delarue M *et al.* (2009). X-ray structure of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel in an apparently open conformation. Nature 457: 111–114.

Bradley PB, Engel G, Feniuk W, Fozard JR, Humphrey PP, Middlemiss DN *et al.* (1986). Proposals for the classification and nomenclature of functional receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine. Neuropharmacology 25: 563–576.

Brady CA, Stanford IM, Ali I, Lin L, Williams JM, Dubin AE *et al.* (2001). Pharmacological comparison of human homomeric 5-HT₃A receptors versus heteromeric 5-HT₃A/₃B receptors. Neuropharmacology 41: 282–284.

Brady CA, Dover TJ, Massoura AN, Princivalle AP, Hope AG, Barnes NM (2007). Identification of 5-HT3A and 5-HT3B receptor subunits in human hippocampus. Neuropharmacology 52: 1284–1290.



Brown AM, Hope AG, Lambert JJ, Peters JA (1998). Ion permeation and conduction in a human recombinant 5-HT3 receptor subunit (h5-HT3A). J Physiol 507 (Pt 3):653–665.

Carboni E, Acquas E, Leone P, Perezzani L, Di Chiara G (1988). 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists block morphine- and nicotine-induced place-preference conditioning. Eur J Pharmacol 151: 159–160.

Carboni E, Acquas E, Frau R, Di Chiara G (1989). Differential inhibitory effects of a 5-HT₃ antagonist on drug-induced stimulation of dopamine release. Eur J Pharmacol 164: 515–519.

Cheng Y, Prusoff WH (1973). Relationship between the inhibition constant (K_1) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (I_{50}) of an enzymatic reaction. Biochem Pharmacol 22: 3099–3108.

Colquhoun D (1998). Binding, gating, affinity and efficacy: the interpretation of structure-activity relationships for agonists and of the effects of mutating receptors. Br J Pharmacol 125: 924–947.

Das P, Dillon GH (2003). The 5-HT3B subunit confers reduced sensitivity to picrotoxin when co-expressed with the 5-HT₃A receptor. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 119: 207–212.

Davies PA, Pistis M, Hanna MC, Peters JA, Lambert JJ, Hales TG *et al.* (1999). The 5-HT3B subunit is a major determinant of serotonin-receptor function. Nature 397: 359–363.

Deeb TZ, Sharp D, Hales TG (2009). Direct subunit-dependent multimodal 5-hydroxytryptamine3 receptor antagonism by methadone. Mol Pharmacol 75: 908–917.

Doucet E, Latremoliere A, Darmon M, Hamon M, Emerit MB (2007). Immunolabelling of the 5-HT 3B receptor subunit in the central and peripheral nervous systems in rodents. Eur J Neurosci 26: 355–366.

Drisdel RC, Sharp D, Henderson T, Hales TG, Green WN (2008). High affinity binding of epibatidine to serotonin type 3 receptors. J Biol Chem 283: 9659–9665.

Fan P (1995). Nonopioid mechanism of morphine modulation of the activation of 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptors. Mol Pharmacol 47: 491–495.

Fenwick EM, Marty A, Neher E (1982). A patch-clamp study of bovine chromaffin cells and of their sensitivity to acetylcholine. J Physiol 331: 577–597.

Frank B, Niesler B, Nothen MM, Neidt H, Propping P, Bondy B *et al.* (2004). Investigation of the human serotonin receptor gene HTR3B in bipolar affective and schizophrenic patients. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 131B: 1–5.

Gaddum JH, Picarelli ZP (1957). Two kinds of tryptamine receptor. Br J Pharmacol Chemother 12: 323–328.

Galligan JJ (2002). Ligand-gated ion channels in the enteric nervous system. Neurogastroenterol Motil 14: 611–623.

Hibbs RE, Sulzenbacher G, Shi J, Talley TT, Conrod S, Kem WR *et al.* (2009). Structural determinants for interaction of partial agonists with acetylcholine binding protein and neuronal α 7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. EMBO J 28: 3040–3051.

Hilf RJ, Dutzler R (2009). Structure of a potentially open state of a proton-activated pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. Nature 457: 115–118.

van Hooft JA, Vijverberg HP (1998). Agonist and antagonist effects of apomorphine enantiomers on 5-HT₃ receptors. Neuropharmacology 37: 259–264.

Hope AG, Belelli D, Mair ID, Lambert JJ, Peters JA (1999). Molecular determinants of (+)-tubocurarine binding at recombinant 5-hydroxytryptamine3A receptor subunits. Mol Pharmacol 55: 1037–1043.

Juni A, Klein G, Pintar JE, Kest B (2007). Nociception increases during opioid infusion in opioid receptor triple knock-out mice. Neuroscience 147: 439–444.

Kelley SP, Dunlop JI, Kirkness EF, Lambert JJ, Peters JA (2003). A cytoplasmic region determines single-channel conductance in 5-HT3 receptors. Nature 424: 321–324.

Kranzler HR, Pierucci-Lagha A, Feinn R, Hernandez-Avila C (2003). Effects of ondansetron in early- versus late-onset alcoholics: a prospective, open-label study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 27: 1150–1155.

Krzywkowski K, Jensen AA, Connolly CN, Brauner-Osborne H (2007). Naturally occurring variations in the human 5-HT3A gene profoundly impact 5-HT3 receptor function and expression. Pharmacogenet Genomics 17: 255–266.

Levran O, Londono D, O'Hara K, Nielsen DA, Peles E, Rotrosen J *et al.* (2008). Genetic susceptibility to heroin addiction: a candidate gene association study. Genes Brain Behav 7: 720–729.

Levran O, Londono D, O'Hara K, Randesi M, Rotrosen J, Casadonte P *et al.* (2009). Heroin addiction in African Americans: a hypothesis-driven association study. Genes Brain Behav 8: 531–540.

Liang DY, Li X, Clark JD (2011). 5-Hydroxytryptamine type 3 receptor modulates opioid-induced hyperalgesia and tolerance in mice. Anesthesiology 114: 1180–1189.

Livesey MR, Cooper MA, Deeb TZ, Carland JE, Kozuska J, Hales TG *et al.* (2008). Structural determinants of Ca²⁺ permeability and conduction in the human 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3A receptor. J Biol Chem 283: 19301–19313.

Lochner M, Lummis SC (2010). Agonists and antagonists bind to an A-A interface in the heteromeric 5-HT₃AB receptor. Biophys J 98: 1494–1502.

Mair ID, Lambert JJ, Yang J, Dempster J, Peters JA (1998). Pharmacological characterization of a rat 5-hydroxytryptamine type3 receptor subunit (r5-HT3A(b)) expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Br J Pharmacol 124: 1667–1674.

Matthes HW, Maldonado R, Simonin F, Valverde O, Slowe S, Kitchen I *et al.* (1996). Loss of morphine-induced analgesia, reward effect and withdrawal symptoms in mice lacking the μ -opioid-receptor gene. Nature 383: 819–823.

McPherson J, Rivero G, Baptist M, Llorente J, Al-Sabah S, Krasel C *et al.* (2010). μ-opioid receptors: correlation of agonist efficacy for signalling with ability to activate internalization. Mol Pharmacol 78: 756–766.

Meineke I, Freudenthaler S, Hofmann U, Schaeffeler E, Mikus G, Schwab M *et al.* (2002). Pharmacokinetic modelling of morphine, morphine-3-glucuronide and morphine-6-glucuronide in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid of neurosurgical patients after short-term infusion of morphine. Br J Clin Pharmacol 54: 592–603.

Niesler B, Frank B, Kapeller J, Rappold GA (2003). Cloning, physical mapping and expression analysis of the human 5-HT₃ serotonin receptor-like genes HTR3C, HTR3D and HTR3E. Gene 310: 101–111.

Peters JA, Malone HM, Lambert JJ (1990). Antagonism of 5-HT₃ receptor mediated currents in murine N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells by (+)-tubocurarine. Neurosci Lett 110: 107–112.

Peters JA, Hales TG, Lambert JJ (2005). Molecular determinants of single-channel conductance and ion selectivity in the Cys-loop family: insights from the 5-HT₃ receptor. Trends Pharmacol Sci 26: 587–594.



Stewart A, Davies PA, Kirkness EF, Safa P, Hales TG (2003). Introduction of the 5-HT3B subunit alters the functional properties of 5-HT₃ receptors native to neuroblastoma cells. Neuropharmacology 44: 214–223.

Sugita S (1992). 5-hydroxytryptamine is a fast excitatory transmitter at 5-HT₃ receptors in rat amygdala. Neuron 8: 199–203.

Walkembach J, Bruss M, Urban BW, Barann M (2005). Interactions of metoclopramide and ergotamine with human 5-HT₃A receptors and human 5-HT reuptake carriers. Br J Pharmacol 146: 543–552.

Walstab J, Hammer C, Lasitschka F, Möller D, Connolly CN, Rappold G *et al.* (2010). RIC-3 exclusively enhances the surface expression of human homomeric 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3A (5-HT₃A) receptors despite direct interactions with 5-HT3A, -C, -D, and -E subunits. J Biol Chem 285: 26956–26965.

Wittmann M, Peters I, Schaaf T, Wartenberg HC, Wirz S, Nadstawek J *et al.* (2006). The effects of morphine on human 5-HT₃A receptors. Anesth Analg 103: 747–752.

Wittmann M, Schaaf T, Peters I, Wirz S, Urban BW, Barann M (2008). The effects of fentanyl-like opioids and hydromorphone on human 5-HT₃A receptors. Anesth Analg 107: 107–112.

Wu DF, Othman NA, Sharp D, Mahendra A, Deeb TZ, Hales TG (2010). A conserved cysteine residue in the third transmembrane domain is essential for homomeric 5-HT3 receptor function. J Physiol 588: 603–616.

Yamada K, Hattori E, Iwayama Y, Ohnishi T, Ohba H, Toyota T *et al.* (2006). Distinguishable haplotype blocks in the HTR3A and HTR3B region in the Japanese reveal evidence of association of HTR3B with female major depression. Biol Psychiatry 60: 192–201.

Ye JH, Ponnudurai R, Schaefer R (2001). Ondansetron: a selective $5-HT_3$ receptor antagonist and its applications in CNS-related disorders. CNS Drug Rev 7: 199–213.

Zhang R, Wen X, Militante J, Hester B, Rhubottom HE, Sun H *et al.* (2007). The role of loop F residues in determining differential d-tubocurarine potencies in mouse and human 5-hydroxytryptamine 3A receptors. Biochemistry 46: 1194–1204.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1 Saturable binding of [³H]GR65630 to 5-HT₃A and 5-HT₃AB receptors.

Figure S2 Simultaneous application of morphine does not alter 5-HT₃ receptor kinetics.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.