Gut microbiome, surgical complications and probiotics
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Abstract

The trigger for infectious complications in patients following major abdominal operations is classically attributed to endogenous enteral bacterial translocation, due to the critical condition of the gut. Today, extensive gut microbiome analysis has enabled us to understand that almost all “evidence-based” surgical or medical intervention (antibiotics, bowel preparation, opioids, deprivation of nutrition), in addition to stress-released hormones, could affect the relative abundance and diversity of the enteral microbiome, allowing harmful bacteria to proliferate in the place of depressed beneficial species. Furthermore, these bacteria, after tight sensing of host stress and its consequent humoral alterations, can and do switch their virulence accordingly, towards invasion of the host. Probiotics are the exogenously given, beneficial clusters of live bacteria that, upon digestion, seem to succeed in partially restoring the distorted microbial diversity, thus reducing the infectious complications occurring in surgical and critically ill patients. This review presents the latest data on the interrelationship between the gut microbiome and the occurrence of complications after colon surgery, and the efficacy of probiotics as therapeutic instruments for changing the bacterial imbalance.
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Introduction

Complications after colorectal surgery – especially those performed for malignancy – are often a result of bacterial infections, leading to a significant increase in morbidity and mortality, as well as the duration of hospitalization and the subsequent costs. In this process, the gut seems to play a crucial part. Failure of the gut barrier function has long been considered to lead to a process called “bacterial translocation”, where whole bacteria or their virulent products enter the systemic circulation and provoke systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), which may lead to multiple organ failure or even death. Human studies have shown that at least 11% of individuals who undergo an open-abdomen surgical operation have experienced translocation of live bacteria to the mesenteric lymph nodes or to the serosa of the bowel wall. Evidence of bacterial DNA in the blood of approximately 50% of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) also suggests bacterial translocation, but there is still a great deal of controversy as to whether this is only an epiphenomenon, or whether it really contributes to morbidity [1,2].

In recent years, there has been ongoing interest in the human gut microbial ecosystem, which ultimately appears to be involved in both disease onset and progression, as well as in the development of complications. Moreover, there is increasing recognition of the important fact that microbes can obtain information from their host environment, which they then utilize to determine whether to colonize or express a virulent phenotype to invade the host, a scenario especially prevalent during prolonged critical illness [3-5].

In this review, efforts were made to present the newest data on the interrelationship between gut microbiome and the emergence of complications after colon surgery, and the efficacy of probiotics as therapeutic instruments for changing the bacterial imbalance.

Intestinal microbiota: symbiosis and dysbiosis

The gastrointestinal tract hosts a particularly complex microbial ecosystem, consisting of more than $10^{14}$ microbes representing 500-1500 species. This ecosystem remains relatively stable throughout life, leading to the speculation that individuals might possess a unique microbial “fingerprint”, despite daily variations attributable to diet, lifestyle, age, and the host’s physiological and immunological health. All microorganisms residing within or on the human body are called microbiota, and their genomes are known as the human microbiome [6,7].

The four dominant phyla inhabitants of the human gut are **Firmicutes** and **Bacteroidetes**, accounting for more than 90% of the bacteria cells, with a smaller representation of **Actinobacteria** and **Proteobacteria**. Species from the genus *Bacteroides* alone constitute about 30% of all bacteria in the human microbiome, while the well-known family *Enterobacteriaceae*, which contains medically relevant genera such as *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Salmonella*, actually represents less than 1% [4-8,11] (Fig. 1).

Figure 1

Distribution of the intestinal microbiota phyla
This complex ecosystem coexists in a fragile balance (symbiosis), that can easily be disturbed (dysbiosis). This occurs when a disturbance in the composition and function of beneficial bacteria makes them incapable of controlling the harmful bacteria successfully. Today, dysbiosis has been linked with important human diseases, not only infections, but also autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders, [8, 12]. In this context, there is now clear evidence that every direct or indirect manipulation of gut microbiota – by means, for example, of antibiotics or surgery – contributes to disease development or the opposite: a broad range of medical and surgical problems are linked to perturbations of the microbiome (Table 1).

Table 1

Iatrogenic factors affecting the gut microbiome

Intestinal microbiome and colon surgery

Intestinal microbiota and the human gut epithelium, serving as the host, maintain a long-term, well-tolerated symbiotic relationship. When the host “alters” the conditions of “hospitality”, as occurs with the physiologic changes in the human body caused by surgical stress, and more specifically of the intestinal microenvironment, a disturbance in ecological balance occurs [13].

However, the fact that most surgical patients do not experience infectious complications simply underlines the adaptability of both the host and microbe in response to surgical stress [14, 15]. It is also recognized that, besides the extent and severity of surgical stress, the variability of the inflammatory response is also mediated by genetic predisposition, the presence of comorbidities and the side-effects of pharmacologic treatments.

In a recent study in piglets, DNA sequencing of the colonic content was studied comparatively in the “transection surgery” group and in the “no-surgery” group, two weeks after operation. Changes in the relative abundance of bacterial species were confined to Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes phyla, while, at family level, there was evidence of a reduction in Enterobacteriaceae, Bacteroidaceae, and Rhodospirillaceae versus controls [13].

In colon surgery patients, there is not only the operative stress itself, but also a variety of perioperative interventions imposed by modern intensive care therapy, including preoperative bowel cleansing, multiple antibiotic exposure, prolonged starvation, exclusively intravenous nutrition, the administration of vasoactive agents, inhibitors of gastric acidity, and opioids; and finally, the intense manipulation of the gut, which could disrupt the host-microbe relationship and thus could yield heightened virulence expression by bacteria and a fulminant inflammatory response in the host [1, 15-18].

Intestinal microbiome and mechanical bowel cleansing

Mechanical bowel preparation for colorectal surgery has been normal routine for surgeons for more than a century; however, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, in an analysis of 18 trials with 5805 participants aimed at determining the safety and effectiveness of this preparation on morbidity and mortality in colorectal surgery patients, concluded that bowel cleansing can be safely omitted, as it is considered not to reduce rates of surgical site infections, unless it is combined with both oral and systemic antibiotics [17, 19].

By approaching the issue from the perspective of gut bacteria, a randomized controlled trial evaluated the effect of preoperative mechanical bowel cleansing on the fecal flora of patients undergoing colorectal surgery. They found a significant reduction in the total number of bacteria: Clostridium coccoides group, Clostridium leptum subgroup, Bifidobacteria, total Lactobacillus and Enterobacteriaceae were found to be significantly reduced, but there was no effect on the number of Enterococci and Staphylococci [16]. Similarly, from the 16S rRNA gene sequences analysis of mucosal biopsies obtained during sigmoidoscopies from unprepared and prepared gut of the same individuals, it became clear that standard colonic lavage alters the composition and diversity of not only the intestinal lumen microbiota, but also the mucosa-associated, the differences being more prominent at the genus level [20]. It is now well accepted that the intestinal luminal and the mucosa-associated microbiota differ significantly from each other in diversity and composition, and appear as two distinct ecosystems with different metabolic and immunological functions [21].

Furthermore, when the intestinal microbiota composition was analyzed at baseline, immediately after bowel cleansing, and after 14 and 28 days, the number of bacteria in samples collected immediately after bowel cleansing was on average 34.7-fold lower than at baseline (P<0.001), and the number of methanogenic archaea was also decreased 20-fold (P<0.001); these had returned to baseline by the 14- and 28-day samples [22]. So far, it seems that bowel cleansing could be salutary for patients who are to undergo colon surgery. However, further analysis revealed that immediately after the lavage, the intestinal microbiota was significantly different from baseline, even at class or family level: there was a significant decrease in Bacilli and Clostridium cluster IV genera and a parallel significant increase in members of the Proteobacteria phylum and Clostridium cluster XIVa; additionally, the ratio of Gram-positive to Gram-negative species changed significantly after the lavage (from 5.3±4.8 to 9.2±7.5 at the 14-day time-point, P<0.05, after which a trend towards baseline was evidenced), while Proteus genera were still significantly increased after 28 days (Fig. 2).

In the same manner, a very recently published paper further underlines that, immediately after bowel lavage, a significant reduction in Lactobacillaceae and an increase in Enterobacteriaceae abundance were prominent; 30 days later these families were still significantly lower, while Streptococcaceae had increased 4-fold compared with samples collected before lavage [23].

Figure 2

Alterations of the gut microbiome after mechanical bowel cleansing
These recent findings seem to provide clear evidence that the widely used polyethylene glycol bowel-cleansing preparation could be considered bacterial genocid, as it has a long-lasting effect on the composition and homeostasis of gut microbiota. It is well-known that laxatives in general introduce an osmotic flow of fluids into the gut, washing out the fecal luminal content with a substantial reduction in intestinal bacteria [24], while the concomitant rapid increase in gut motility further contributes to flushing out all bacteria incapable of adhering to the gut mucosa, thus distorting the fecal bacterial composition [22-23].

Moreover, bowel purgation affects the quality and production of the protective mucus layer, while the fact that Proteobacteria flourish after lavage and in the long-term thereafter could be completely explained by the knowledge that purging leads to the introduction of oxygen into the normally anaerobic ecosystem and to an increase in pH, via the loss of short-chain fatty acids [25,26].

Finally, it has also been suggested that the sheer mechanical effect of colonic lavage may alter the intracellular signaling pathways involved in cell proliferation and influence the interaction between intestinal mucosal cells and the extracellular matrix, all of which are key elements of the mucosal gut barrier [27] (Fig. 3).

Intestinal microbiome and antibiotics

Antibiotic administration has long been known to have detrimental effects on the ecology of commensal bacteria, ranging from self-treated “functional” diarrhea to life-threatening pseudomembranous colitis [28-29]. Recent studies have demonstrated that beyond the prolonged disruption of the intestinal microbial content at the taxa level, antibiotics also affect gene expression, protein activity and more than 8% of all metabolites, thus deranging the majority of metabolic pathways of critical importance to host physiology. They have also underlined that antibiotics lead to a significant alteration of the gut microbiome and a parallel decrease in microbial diversity of between one fourth and one third [12,30-32].

Today, it is more or less clear that even short-term antibiotic treatment can cause detrimental damage to the intestinal microbiome that can last more than 24 months. Panta et al [32] investigated the number and composition of the fecal microbiota just before and after a 7-day treatment in 21 patients who received fluoroquinolones, β-lactams and other commonly used antibiotics. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis and pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA gene reveal that both fluoroquinolones and β-lactams significantly decrease microbial diversity by 25%, reducing the core phylogenetic taxa from 29 to only 12. At the phylum level, both antibiotics resulted in a 2.5-fold (P=0.0003) decrease in Firmicutes and an increase in Bacteroidetes, although this phenomenon was not prominent after treatment with piperacillin/tazobactam and levofloxacin/metronidazole.

Earlier studies showed that, during a 10-day amoxicillin-clavulanic acid administration, Bifidobacterium spp. (one of the major groups seen on day 0) disappeared as early as day 4, and had not returned by day 24. In contrast, Enterobacteriaceae (which represented only 2% of the day 0 sequences) increased to 34% on day 4, but were partially restored, as were the other major bacterial clusters, on day 24 [33]. Similarly, during a 5-day amoxicillin treatment, the dominant species presented on day 0 showed a major shift starting from day 1, reaching an average similarity of only 74% after 4 days, after which they were partially restored to 88% on day 30 and to 89% only on day 60 [34].

Finally, a 5-day ciprofloxacin administration was found to reduce the intestinal microbiota diversity, with significant effects on about one third of the bacterial taxa [31], the effects being less pronounced than those of clindamycin or amoxicillin-clavulanic acid [35]. This taxonomic disturbance had recovered to almost the pre-treatment state at 4 weeks post-treatment, but several taxa failed to recover within 6 months [31].

Other interventions

Today, it is generally known that many of the infectious bacteria species acquire the capacity not only to recognize stress-related hormones, but also to synthesize the very same neurochemicals, which can influence the host. In other words, pathogenic bacteria in the stressed host may use stress-released hormones as environmental cues by which to sense their surroundings [12]. This has also been confirmed by the demonstration that the expression of the accessory gene regulator in E. coli is regulated by the intestinal microbial composition [36]. Other studies have shown that catecholamines directly affect the growth and expression of virulence-related factors in some bacteria, such as Yersinia enterocolitica, Escherichia coli (E. coli), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), Salmonella typhii or Campylobacter jejuni [38-39].

It has been shown that catecholamines directly affect the growth and expression of virulence-related factors in some bacteria, such as Yersinia enterocolitica, Escherichia coli (E. coli), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), Salmonella typhii or Campylobacter jejuni [38-39]. Furthermore, there is evidence that the in-vitro growth of the respiratory pathogen Bordetella bronchiseptica (B. bronchiseptica) is greatly enhanced in the presence of norepinephrine and that this ability is, in part, mediated by the ability of norepinephrine to increase the acquisition of transferrin-bound iron by B. bronchiseptica [40]. In the same manner, norepinephrine was found to increase the proliferation of Streptococcus pneumoniae by assisting the delivery of iron from host iron-binding proteins, while at the same time enhancing the formation of biofilms and thus increasing antibiotic resistance [39].

Morphine is produced endogenously during the inflammatory processes by different cell types, including neutrophils, which rapidly transfer it to sites of inflammation and infection [41]. Additionally, morphine, one of the most commonly used analgesics, is considered a powerful immunosuppressant [42], therefore, the sustained exposure of tissues to morphine, either endogenously produced or exogenously supplied, is a virtual certainty for all surgical patients, those with trauma, or the critically ill.

Morphine treatment in mice whose gut had been contaminated with P. aeruginosa caused a shift towards a more virulent phenotype of P. aeruginosa, able to cause lethal gut-derived sepsis, and a tendency for biofilm formation, thus increasing its antibiotic resistance. Moreover, P. aeruginosa possesses the ability to switch phenotype from being mucus-enhancing to mucus-suppressing - having the ability to destroy gut epithelial integrity - depending on the
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It is increasingly recognized that the gut microbiome plays a fundamental role in the health maintenance of the host, and that any alteration in the diversity, the number or the virulent phenotype can have a critical effect on host morbidity and even mortality. The concept that bacteria are able to sense the host environment, and adjust their behavior and virulence accordingly, is a new dimension in the area of intense research in severe-infection patients that breaks new ground in our understanding of how the gut acts as the driving force of critical illness [47]. Based on these observations, it is obvious that when, for whatever reason, the symbiotic relationship with the host is turned to dysbiosis, the newly pathogenic bacteria can further trigger and promote harm to the already compromised host, in a positive spiral feedback.

Since medical interventions and surgical manipulation of the host are part of everyday practice, it would be of great interest and importance to examine the precise mechanisms and correlate the reported alterations of the microbiome with the infectious complications in the surgical and/or critically ill patient. Shimizu et al [3,13] found a significant reduction in the total anaerobic bacteria, as well as 2-log higher counts of the hazardous Staphylococcus and Pseudomonas groups, in the fecal flora of patients with SIRS, compared to healthy volunteers. Furthermore, they correlated key bacteria in the gut and derived their cutoff values in relation to infectious complications and mortality. The equilibrium between obligate anaerobes and total facultative anaerobes seems to play a critical role in causing septic complications: during the unfavorable evolution of SIRS, alterations in gut bacteria usually progress from a diverse pattern to a single pattern and then on to a depleted pattern, the three types representing a continuum of abnormality, depending on the severity of the patient’s condition. Bacteremia was evident in 35% of those with a diverse pattern versus 71% with the single pattern, resulting in a mortality rate of 6% in the former, 52% in the latter, and 64% in those with a depleted pattern (P<0.05) [48].

Liu et al [49] analyzed the feces of patients undergoing colorectal surgery and found a reduction in microbial diversity, including Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli. In contrast, the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas and Candida, showed a significant increase, which in turn was well correlated with the higher rate of infectious complications, 46% versus 14%, in probiotics-treated patients (P<0.05). Likewise, Komatsu [50] reported a significant reduction in the total number of bacteria and the number of dominant obligate anaerobes and a significant increase in the number of Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus (MSCNS), Pseudomonas, and Clostridium difficile after colorectal surgery, compared with data from the same group before surgery.

Finally, in a recent study, neonatal piglets that underwent intestinal resection and received parenteral nutrition and antibiotics or placebo were examined at day 7 against age-matched sow-fed piglets. Ileal and colonic contents revealed dramatic differences in diversity and an almost complete loss of Lactobacillus, along with a remarkable increase in the Fusobacteriaceae and Enterobacteriaceae families in both the ileum and the colon. In addition, there was an increase in the Bacteroidaceae family in the colon [51]. These results strongly support similar findings in humans undergoing small bowel resection, who lacked exposure to enteral nutrition for 2 weeks. The reported loss in fecal bacterial diversity in this study was clearly associated with a higher incidence of postoperative infectious and anastomotic complications [52].

Anastomotic leaks

In colorectal surgery, an anastomotic leak represents the most dreaded of all complications, since it is often perceived as a failure of the operation or the surgeon, although the causes of dehiscence is not fully elucidated. However, it has long been known that the intestinal bacterial population plays rather an important role: inoculation of rats with 10^9 P. aeruginosa led to an increase in the incidence of anastomotic insufficiency up to 95% after gastrectomy and to a significant increase in mortality [53].

This concept has re-emerged as a result of advances in microbial isolation and identification using 16S rRNA analysis. Olivas et al [54], working in an rat model of preoperative irradiation plus colonic resection and anastomosis, demonstrated that intestinal colonization with P. aeruginosa resulted in a significantly higher incidence of leaks, compared to the non-colonized group. What is even more striking is that the Pseudomonas colonizing anastomotic sites had become, in vivo, transformed to express a tissue-destroying phenotype; that is, one that had undergone a single nucleotide polymorphism mutation in the mexT gene that resulted in a much more virulent phenotype with increased collagenase activity, high swarming motility, and an increased ability for tissue destruction.

It is well known that important human mucosal pathogens have evolved virulence mechanisms to circumvent the mucosal epithelial barrier [55,56]. P. aeruginosa seems to favor damaged epithelial tissues to initiate colonization [57]; then, upon binding to epithelial cells, it activates a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, which is absolutely necessary for P. aeruginosa to enter from the apical surfa