Magnolia Extract, Magnolol, and Metabolites: Activation of Cannabinoid CB₂ Receptors and Blockade of the Related GPR55
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ABSTRACT: The bark of Magnolia officinalis is used in Asian traditional medicine for the treatment of anxiety, sleeping disorders, and allergic diseases. We found that the extract and its main bioactive constituents, magnolol and honokiol, can activate cannabinoid (CB) receptors. In cAMP accumulation studies, magnolol behaved as a partial agonist (EC₅₀ = 3.28 μM) with selectivity for the CB₂ subtype, while honokiol was less potent showing full agonistic activity at CB₁ and antagonistic properties at CB₂. We subsequently synthesized the major metabolites of magnolol and found that tetrahydromagnolol (7) was 19-fold more potent than magnolol (EC₅₀ CB₂ = 0.170 μM) exhibiting high selectivity versus CB₁. Additionally, 7 behaved as an antagonist at GPR55, a CB-related orphan receptor (Kᵦ = 13.3 μM, β-arrestin translocation assay).

Magnolol and its metabolites may contribute to the biological activities of Magnolia extract via the observed mechanisms of action. Furthermore, the biphenylic compound magnolol provides a simple novel lead structure for the development of agonists for CB receptors and antagonists for the related GPR55.
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The bark of Magnolia officinalis plays an important role in traditional Chinese and Japanese herbal medicine for the treatment of anxiety, sleep-related problems, and allergic diseases.¹,² The pharmacological effects were proposed to be mainly mediated by the neolignans honokiol (1), 4-methoxyhonokiol (2), magnolol (3), and (R)-8,9-dihydromagnolol (4).²⁻⁴ An intensive search for their molecular targets revealed an interaction of honokiol and magnolol with a variety of enzymes and receptors at micromolar concentrations.⁵⁻⁸

We observed that the reported in vivo effects of M. officinalis extracts and its constituents possess a striking analogy to the effects described for ligands acting at cannabinoid (CB) receptors (Figure 1).⁹ Furthermore, the neolignans share structural similarity with some highly potent synthetic CB receptor ligands, such as CP55,940 (5), but also plant-derived biaryl CBs.⁵,¹⁰,¹¹

CB receptors belong to the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily and are divided into two distinct subtypes designated CB₁ and CB₂, both of which are linked to an inhibition of adenylate cyclase.¹² CB₁ activation mediates analgesia, stimulation of appetite, and euphoria, among other effects.¹³ CB₂ receptor activation results in analgesic and antiinflammatory effects.⁹ A related GPCR is the orphan GPR55, which has been described to be activated by lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI).¹⁴ Despite its low amino acid identity to CB₁ (13.5%) and CB₂ (14.4%) receptors, the
GPR55 is reported to interact with certain CBs. For instance, the CB receptor agonist CP55,940 (5) behaves as a GPR55 antagonist, while the CB2-selective inverse agonist rimonabant (6) acts as an agonist at GPR55 (see the Supporting Information). The receptor appears to be involved in cancer cell proliferation and migration, angiogenesis, regulation of bone mass, and the onset of neuropathic pain.

We investigated an ethanolic (90%) extract of M. officinalis bark (magnolol content, 17.9%; honokiol, 22.8%; also see the Supporting Information) for its affinity to CB receptors. In addition, magnolol and honokiol, the major neolignans found in Magnolia bark (0.78–7.65 and 0.17–1.81%, respectively), were studied in radioligand binding and cAMP accumulation assays at CB1 and CB2 receptors and in β-arrestin translocation assays at the GPR55.

Magnolol is known to be extensively metabolized by tissue and intestinal bacterial enzymes to hydrogenated and hydroxy derivatives, glucuronides, and sulfates. The main fecal metabolites of orally administered magnolol (3) in rats are tetrahydromagnolol (7) and trans-isomagnolol (8). Interestingly, the amount of tetrahydromagnolol (7) formed had been found to be increased in rats after repeated administration of magnolol (3), indicating the involvement of inducible enzymes in the metabolization of magnolol (3). Because metabolites may contribute to the action of drugs, we additionally synthesized and investigated 7 and 8.

The synthesis of 7 by phenolic oxidative coupling had previously been described. In the present study, we report a new synthetic route to obtain 7 in a higher yield of 25% (Scheme 1A). 2-Bromo-4-propylphenol (10) was obtained starting from 4-propylphenol (9) by electrophilic aromatic substitution. Boronic acid (11) was obtained by treatment of 10 with butyllithium and subsequent reaction with trimethyl borate followed by acidic hydrolysis. The coupling of 10 with 11 was performed by a Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction procedure, which had previously been used for the preparation of honokiol derivatives, affording the desired tetrahydromagnolol (7). trans-Isomagnolol (8) was obtained by a new procedure depicted in Scheme 1B. 2,2′-Dimethoxybiphenyl (12) was brominated with N-bromosuccinimide to give 5,5′-dibromo-2,2′-dimethoxybiphenyl (13). After demethylation of 13 to 5,5′-dibromo-2,2′-diol (14) and subsequent protection of 14 to the acetylated intermediate 5,5′-dibromo-2,2′-diacetate (15), a Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction was performed with propenylboronic acid to yield 5,5′-di-((E)-prop-1-enyl)biphenyl-2,2′-diacetate (16). Product 8 was obtained by basic ester cleavage of 16. Another metabolite, primarily found in the human urine after oral administration of M. officinalis bark preparations, is 8,9-dihydroxydihydromagnolol (4). Compound 4 was synthesized as depicted in Scheme 1C by treatment of 3 with osmium tetroxide to afford 4 in 20% yield after purification.

The ethanolic M. officinalis bark extract (“M-extract”) showed high affinity in radioligand binding assays for human CB1 and CB2 receptor subtypes recombinitely expressed in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO-K1) cells (for assay procedures, see the references). As depicted in Figure 2, the extract preferentially displaced the radioligand at CB2 receptors (Ki = 0.165 μM at CB2, 1.21 μM at CB2, >7-fold difference). Magnolol, but not honokiol, also showed a certain preference for CB2 in binding studies (Ki values of magnolol at CB2, 3.19 μM; at CB2, 1.44 μM; honokiol at CB2, 6.46 μM; at CB2, 5.61 μM; Figure 2B, C and Table 1). In cAMP assays, the difference was even more pronounced, magnolol showing a 6-fold higher potency at CB2 as compared to CB1 receptors (Table 1 and Figure 3A).

The functional studies indicated that magnolol (3) is a partial agonist at both receptor subtypes in comparison to the full agonist 5. Although 3 possessed higher potency at CB2 as compared to CB1, it exhibited lower efficacy (CB2, 62%; CB2, 31%; Table 1 and Figure 3). In contrast, honokiol and the M-extract showed full agonistic properties at CB1 receptors, while they acted as antagonists with inverse agonistic activity at the CB2 receptor subtype (Table 1 and Supporting Information).

In radioligand binding experiments as well as in functional studies, the main fecal magnolol metabolite 7 showed a similar profile as the parent natural product 3 but was considerably
more potent (Table 1 and Figure 2). Like magnolol (3), metabolite 7 was CB₂-selective, being a partial agonist at CB₁ receptors and a full agonist at CB₂. In cAMP assays at CB₂ receptors, 7 (EC₅₀ = 0.170 μM) was almost 20-fold more potent than 3 (Figure 3B). These findings indicate that the modestly potent magnolol (3) is bioactivated to the potent metabolite 7 and can thus be envisaged as a pro-c drug. The second fecal magnolol metabolite, 8, was also found to be active at CB receptors and displayed comparable functional properties as 3 and 7 but possessed lower affinity and potency at both receptor subtypes (see Table 1 and Supporting Information). In contrast to tetrahydromagnolol (7) and trans-isomagnolol (8), the main urinary metabolite of magnolol in humans, 8,9-dihydroxydihydromagnolol (4), showed no affinity for CB receptors, indicating that its described antidepressant effects are likely not mediated by CB receptors (Table 1).

As a next step, the magnolia extract and the individual compounds were investigated for their potential to interact with the CB-like orphan receptor GPR55 by performing β-arrestin translocation assays. The M-extract, tested at a concentration of 50 μg/mL, showed complete inhibition of the LPI effect (Table 1), indicating that in addition to CB receptors, interaction with the GPR55 may also be involved in mediating the biological effects of M. officinalis extracts. As depicted in Figure 4A, none of the pure biphenyls was able to significantly induce β-arrestin recruitment via GPR55 activation at a high concentration of 10 μM. This indicated that they did not act as GPR55 agonists. However, when tested for potential inhibitory effects, honokiol (1) and—more strongly—tetrahydromagnolol (7) were able to inhibit LPI-induced GPR55 activation at a concentration of 10 μM (Figure 4B), while magnolol (3), 8,9-dihydromagnolol (4), and trans-isomagnolol (8) were inactive. The more potent 7 was further investigated for its antagonistic properties at GPR55. Tetrahydromagnolol (7, 10 μM) led to a significant parallel rightward shift of the curve for the agonist

| Table 1. Interaction of Magnolia Extract and Test Compounds with CB₁ and CB₂ Receptors and GPR55 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| compd                  | radioligand binding vs [³H]CP55,940 | cAMP accumulation assay | β-arrestin assay       |
|                        | Kᵢ ± SEM (μM), n = 3             | EC₅₀ ± SEM (μM), n = 3 | Kᵢ ± SEM (μM), n = 3 |
|                        | Kᵢ ± SEM (μM), n = 3             | [efficacy at 100 μM as compared to max effect of full agonist S (1 μM) = 100%] | [inhibition of LPI-induced β-arrestin translocation at 10 μM] |
| honokiol (1)           | 6.46 ± 3.54                     | >10.0 (168%)           | ND⁺ (0%)               |
| magnolol (3)           | 3.15 ± 1.65                     | 18.3 ± 8.6 (62%)       | ND⁺ (0%)               |
| (RS)-8,9-dihydroxydihydromagnolol (4) | >10.0                              | 3.28 ± 2.01 (31%)⁺     | ND⁺ (4%)               |
| tetrahydro-magnolol (7) | 2.26 ± 0.89                     | 9.01 ± 3.42 (124%)⁺   | 13.3 ± 2.0 (96%)⁺      |
| trans-isomagnolol (8)  | >10.0                           | 0.170 ± 0.114 (49%)⁺  | ND⁺ (5%)               |
| CP55,940 (5)           | 0.00128 ± 0.00044               | 8.73 ± 3.39 (55%)⁺    | ND⁺ (5%)               |
| rimonabant (6)         | 0.0126 ± 0.0039                 | ND⁺ (5%)               | 1.89 ± 0.97 (92%)⁺     |
| magnolia extract       | 1.21 ± 0.48 μg/mL               | ND⁺ (5%)               | 1.89 ± 0.97 (92%)⁺     |

⁺ND, not determined. **Inhibition of LPI (1 μM)-induced β-arrestin recruitment by test compounds (at 10 μM; 50 μg/mL for magnolia extract). The LPI effect is set at 100%. *n = 4. **Concentration of test compound (10 μM). *Concentration of magnolia extract (50 μg/mL).
LPI at GPR55, indicating a competitive mechanism of inhibition. A $K_i$ value of 13.3 µM was determined (Figure 4C).

The magnolia extract and biphenyls 1, 3, 4, 7, and 8 were further investigated for potential inhibition of the endocannabinoid-degrading enzymes fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and monoacylglyceride lipase (MAGL) in rat brain preparations.$^{29,30}$ No inhibition of the enzymes was observed by the compounds at a test concentration of 10 µM. The extract, tested at a high concentration of 50 µg/mL, did not inhibit FAAH and showed only weak inhibition (22%) of MAGL (for details, see the Supporting Information).

During the preparation of this manuscript, a study appeared describing honokiol, 4-methoxyhonokiol, and magnolol as CB$_2$ receptor ligands.$^8$ 4-Methoxyhonokiol was found to exhibit high affinity (44 nM), while magnolol and honokiol were described to possess moderate (1−3 µM) affinities for CB$_2$ receptors as determined in radioligand binding studies.$^8$ These findings are in accordance with the results obtained in our laboratory. Furthermore, it was reported that magnolol and honokiol possess a significantly lower affinity for the CB$_1$ receptor.$^8$ In our hands, this observation was only true for magnolol, while honokiol possessed about equal affinity for both CB receptor subtypes.

No functional characterization of the main neolignan constituents of *Magnolia* bark, magnolol, and honokiol was described in the recently published study,$^8$ neither at CB$_1$ nor at CB$_2$ receptors. In the present study, we describe for the first time the functional properties of the natural products honokiol (CB$_1$ agonist and CB$_2$ antagonist) and magnolol (partial agonist at both receptors with preference for CB$_1$).

Moreover, we discovered that its main metabolites trans-isomagnolol and tetrahydromagnolol are selective partial CB$_2$ agonists. The main metabolite of magnolol, tetrahydromagnolol, is in fact a more potent partial CB$_2$ agonist than the parent drug magnolol and also shows CB$_2$ selectivity. Partial CB$_1$ and CB$_2$ receptor agonists had been shown to reduce inflammation-associated hyperalgesia and to possess antiallodynic effects in animal models.$^{31,32}$ Thus, magnolol and its metabolites may have the same in vivo effects due to their action on CB receptors.

Furthermore, magnolia extract and its constituents magnolol and honokiol, as well as the main metabolites of magnolol 4, 7, and 8, were investigated for the first time at the CB-like GPR55 using $\beta$-arrestin translocation assays and at enzymes responsible for endocannabinoid degradation. Compound 7 was found to be a moderately potent GPR55 antagonist ($K_B = 13.3$ µM). It could serve as a lead structure for the development of potent and selective GPR55 antagonists.

Our results together with recently published reports provide a new potential mechanism of action for the known effects of *Magnolia* bark extracts. An involvement of further bioactive constituents, as well as synergistic effects, have to be considered. As blood levels of 7 after magnolol intake have not been determined yet, pharmacokinetic studies are needed to corroborate our findings. Metabolite 7 exhibits an approximately 11-fold lower affinity for CB$_2$ receptors as compared to the well-known plant-derived CB $\Delta^9$-THC. The lower affinity of 7 might be sufficient for biological activity, particularly since it may accumulate after repeated intake of magnolol-containing formulations.$^{19,20}$ Further investigations using in vivo models are warranted. The biphenyl magnolol provides a simple, novel lead structure for the development of agonists for CB receptors and antagonists for the related GPR55.
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