Cannabinoid Dose and Label Accuracy in Edible Medical Cannabis Products

Ryan Vandrey, PhD[1]; Jeffrey C. Raber, PhD[2]; Mark E. Raber[2]; Brad Douglass, PhD[3]; Cameron Miller, MS[3]; Marcel O. Bonn-Miller, PhD[4]
[+] Author Affiliations

Text Size: A A A

This article was corrected | View correction

Article
Tables
References

This study analyzed the dose accuracy of labels from edibile medical cannabis products dispensed in 3 US cities.

As the use of cannabis (marijuana) for medical purposes has expanded, a variety of edible products for oral consumption has been developed. An estimated 16% to 26% of patients using medical cannabis consume edible products.[1,2] Even though oral consumption lacks the harmful by-products of smoking, difficult dose titration can result in overdosing or underdosing, highlighting the importance of accurate product labeling.

Letters

Cannabinoid Dose and Label Accuracy in Edible Medical Cannabis Products

As the use of cannabis (marijuana) for medical purposes has expanded, a variety of edible products for oral consumption has been developed. An estimated 16% to 26% of patients using medical cannabis consume edible products.[1,2] Even though oral consumption lacks the harmful by-products of smoking, difficult dose titration can result in overdosing or underdosing, highlighting the importance of accurate product labeling.

Regulation and quality assurance for edible product cannabinoid content and labeling are generally lacking. We investigated the label accuracy of edible cannabis products.

Methods | An Internet directory of dispensaries, with a menu of products available at each, was used to determine purchase locations in San Francisco, California, Los Angeles, California, and Seattle, Washington. A list of dispensaries was generated, with individual businesses randomly selected until 3 were identified in each city that offered at least 1 edible cannabis product from each of 3 common categories (baked goods, beverages, candy or chocolate) with package labels that provided, at minimum, specific Δ^9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) content.

Between August and October 2014, individuals with a physician's letter (in compliance with state laws) and no history of purchasing edible cannabis products were sent to the preselected dispensaries and instructed to buy as large a variety of products, in terms of type and labeled cannabinoid content, as possible within budget ($400/city). Purchasers, but not dispensary staff, were aware that the products would be evaluated following purchase. None knew the details of the analyses or testing to be completed.

Cannabidiol (CBD) and THC are typically the most concentrated chemical components of cannabis and are believed to primarily drive therapeutic benefit.[3] Studies suggest improved clinical benefit and fewer adverse effects with a THC:CBD ratio of 1:1.[4] Even though other cannabinoids were analyzed, results focus on THC and CBD.

For testing, entire package contents were homogenized (crushed or mixed). Two 1.5-g (solid) or 25-g (liquid) samples of each product were tested via high-performance liquid chromatography, with results averaged and adjusted for total product weight.

When results of duplicate tests differed by more than 10% (cannabinoid heterogeneity), the entire product was analyzed (n = 37). Five randomly selected products in which duplicate testing was within 10% were subject to complete testing; results confirmed the accuracy of the duplicate testing method. Products were considered accurately labeled if the measured THC and CBD content was within 10% of the labeled values, underlabeled if the content was more than 10% above the labeled values, and overlabeled if the content was more than 10% below the labeled values.

A χ^2 test was used (SPSS version 22; SPSS Inc) to evaluate effects of location on label accuracy. Significance was determined at $P < .05$ (2-sided).

Results | Of 75 products purchased (47 different brands), 17% were accurately labeled, 23% were underlabeled, and 60% were overlabeled with respect to THC content (**Table 1**). The greatest likelihood of obtaining underlabeled products was in Los Angeles and overlabeled products in Seattle ($\chi^2 = 12.94, P = .01$).

Non-THC content was generally low (**Table 2**). Forty-four products (59%) had detectable levels of CBD; only 13 had CBD content labeled. Four products were underlabeled and 9 were overlabeled for CBD. The median THC:CBD ratio of products with detectable CBD was 36:1, 7 had ratios of less than 10:1, and only 1 had a 1:1 ratio.

Discussion | Edible cannabis products from 3 major metropolitan areas, though unregulated, failed to meet basic label accuracy standards for pharmaceuticals. Greater than 50% of products evaluated had significantly less cannabinoid content than labeled, with some products containing negligible amounts of THC. Such products may not produce the desired medical benefit.

Other products contained significantly more THC than labeled, placing patients at risk of experiencing adverse effects.[5,6] Because medical cannabis is recommended for specific health conditions, regulation and quality assurance are needed.

A limited number of cities, dispensaries, and products were included. Because no source lists all dispensaries, and many products are not labeled with cannabinoid content, a true random sample was not possible and the results may not be generalizable. However, this study illustrates the variability in label accuracy for edible cannabis products within 2 of the largest medical cannabis markets in the United States.

Ryan Vandrey, PhD
Jeffrey C. Raber, PhD
Mark E. Raber
Brad Douglass, PhD
Cameron Miller, MS
Marcel O. Bonn-Miller, PhD

Author Affiliations: Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland (Vandrey); Werc Shop Laboratory, Pasadena, California (J. C. Raber, M. E. Raber); Werc Shop Laboratory, Bellevue, Washington (Douglass, Miller); University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia (Bonn-Miller).