×

COVID-19 Information Public health information (CDC) Research information (NIH) SARS-CoV-2 data (NCBI) Prevention and treatment information (HHS) Español

FULL TEXT LINKS

🔕 Wolters Kluwer

> Clin J Pain. 2015 Apr;31(4):323-32. doi: 10.1097/AJP.00000000000113.

# Ability of the central sensitization inventory to identify central sensitivity syndromes in an outpatient chronic pain sample

Randy Neblett <sup>1</sup>, Meredith M Hartzell, Howard Cohen, Tom G Mayer, Mark Williams, YunHee Choi, Robert J Gatchel

Affiliations PMID: 24806467 DOI: 10.1097/AJP.00000000000113

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to determine the ability of the central sensitization inventory (CSI), a new screening instrument, to assist clinicians in identifying patients with central sensitivity syndromes (CSSs).

**Methods:** Patients from a psychiatric medical practice (N=161), which specialized in the assessment and treatment of complex pain and psychophysiological disorders, were assessed for the presence of a CSS. CSI scores, using a previously determined cutoff of "40" of "100," were compared between the CSS patient group (n=99) and the non-CSS patient group (n=62). Information on false positives, false negatives, true positives, and true negatives were analyzed, and sensitivity and specificity analyses were conducted. In addition, CSS-relevant variables such as depression, abuse, and substance abuse were examined.

**Results:** A large percentage of CSS patients had comorbid major depressive disorder (80%) and abuse history (43%), which was higher than rates for the patients without a CSS (55% and 24%, respectively). The CSI correctly identified 82.8% (n=82) of CSS patients as having a CSS (ie, sensitivity) and 54.8% (n=28) of non-CSS patients as not having a CSS (ie, specificity). False-positive patients (not diagnosed with a CSS, but scoring >40 on the CSI) reported more severe pain, interference in daily functioning, and abuse history, compared with the non-CSS patients who scored below 40 (ie, true negatives).

**Conclusions:** The CSI is a useful and valid instrument for screening patients for the possibility of a CSS, although the chances of false positives are relatively high when evaluating patients with complex pain and psychophysiological disorders.

### **Related information**

MedGen

#### FOLLOW NCBI



Follow NLM

National Library of Medicine 8600 Rockville Pike Bethesda, MD 20894

Copyright FOIA Privacy Help Accessibility Careers

NLM NIH HHS USA.gov

Ability of the central sensitization inventory to identify central sensitivity...

## LinkOut - more resources

**Full Text Sources** Ovid Technologies, Inc. Wolters Kluwer

Medical MedlinePlus Health Information